# Medical student attitudes before and after participation in rural health fairs

David C. Landy,<sup>1</sup> Michael A. Gorin,<sup>1</sup> Julio D. Egusquiza,<sup>1</sup> Jonathan Weiss,<sup>1</sup> Mark T. O'Connell<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Student of Medicine, Department of Medical Education, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, Miami, USA.<sup>2</sup> Senior Associate Dean for Educational Development and Bernard Fogel Chair in Medical Education, Department of Medical Education, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, Miami, USA.

Background: Despite an increased need, residents of rural communities have decreased access to healthcare and oftenpresentuniquehealthcare challenges associated with their rurality. Ensuring medical students receive adequate exposure to these issues is complicated by the urban location of most medical schools. Health fairs (fairs) conducted in rural communities can provide students exposure to ruralhealth; however, it is unknown how participation affects attitudes regarding these issues. Materials and Methods:During the 2010-2011 academic year, first-year medical students were surveyed before and after participating in a rural fair regarding the importance of rural health issues, the need for exposure to rural healthcare, their plans to practice in a rural community, and the educational impact of fairs. Results: Of the 121 participating students, 77% and 61% completed pre- and post-fair surveys, respectively. Few had lived in a rural area or planned to practice primary care. Participants strongly agreed that the delivery of healthcare in rural areas was important, and that all physicians should receive rural health training (4.8 and 3.7 out of 5, respectively) despite less than halfplanning to practice in a rural community. After participating in a rural fair, student attitudes were unchanged, although 87% of participants strongly agreed their involvement had contributed to improving patient health and 70% that the fairs provided rural medicine experience. Conclusions: Among urban medical school students with varied interests in primary care, there was strong interest in volunteering at rural fairs and appreciation for the importance of rural health. Fairs provided interested students with rural medicine experience that reinforced student attitudes regarding rural health. Further, students felt their participation improved patient health...

Key words: Education, Medical, Undergraduate, Rural health services, Preventive health services

## INTRODUCTION

Rural communities throughout the world suffer from geographic isolation, limited socioeconomic resources, and local physician shortages which have led to decreased access to primary healthcaredespite increased need.[1-5] Additionally, residents of rural communities with access to primary care face unique challenges including limited access to specialized diagnostic and treatment centers, inadequate follow-upand emergency care, and difficulty filling prescriptions.[6-8] These issues can affect the care of rural residents, even by specialists practicing in urban settings, making this relevant to nearly all physicians.[9-10]

Many of the strategies employed to increase awareness of rural health issues among physicians, in both the United States and abroad, have targeted medical students.[11] Examples include recruiting applicants from rural communities, providing financial incentives in exchange for rural practice, and the creation of medical schools devoted to rural health.[12-14] Recent attempts include exposure to rural health through student interest groups, preceptorships, and elective clerkships.[15-18] It is hoped

that exposure will lead to increasedawareness of these issues amongall physicians and more practicing in rural areas.

One barrier to providing rural health exposure is the urban location of most medical schools.[19] We previously described a method of providing such exposure through student-led health fairs (fairs).<sup>[19]</sup> Fairs are one day events organized by medical students under the supervision of attending physicians. Fairs provide access to a variety of health services ranging from cardiovascular disease risk factor screening to female examinations with pap smears. Upon arrival, patients meet with a medical student to determine which health services they should receive. After all tests, medical students discuss the results with patients who are provided targeted health education and referral, when indicated, to reduced-cost clinics. However, it is currently unknown how this experience affects medical student attitudes.

To better understand the impact of fairs on medical student attitudes towards rural health issues, we conducted a study with a pretest-posttest design in which first-year medical students volunteering at

Address for correspondence: Mark T. O'Connell, MD. University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, Rosenstiel Medical Science Building, 2167A. E-mail: moconnell@miami.edu

Received: 13-12-2011; Revised: 02.01.2012; Accepted: 24-01-2012

rural fairs were surveyed before and after participation. We hypothesized that participants would consider rural health issues and education important and that such views would be stronger after fair participation.

### **METHODS**

The University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, an urban medical school, conducts ten comprehensive fairs each year, with four being held in the rural Florida Keys.Mandatory training sessions are held for all participating medical students the week prior to a fair.Briefly, at training sessions, upper-level students provide first-year students training specific to the health services they will be providing at thefair.Additionally, supervision is provided by upperlevel students as well as resident and attending physicians toensure the appropriate provision of medical services and aid in student learning.All first-year students were invited to complete the pre-fair survey at the session prior to their initial participation in a rural fair during the 2010-2011 academic year (Appendix).The post-fair survey was disseminated to all participating first-year students using aninternet-based survey(Appendix).Eligible study participants volunteered at a rural fair at least once but no more than twice, due the logistics of some rural fairs occurring on the same day.

The pre- and post-fair surveys contained eight matched questions using a 5-point Likert-like scale to assess student attitudes regarding the importance of rural health issues and the need for exposure to such issues.Plans to practice in a rural community were also assessed.The pre-fair survey contained five additional questions concerning student characteristics.The postfair survey contained three additional questions concerning the overall educational and clinical impacts of the fair.Initial versions of the survey instruments were pilot tested among upper-level medical students.

Because not all participants completed both pre- and post-fair surveys, analyses of items measured on the 5point Likert-like scale were performed using general linear mixed models to compare mean pre- and postfair responses.These analyses were repeated using only matched pairs to assess the possibility of bias from non-matched responses (Appendix).Results were consistentwiththe results produced byincluding all responses which arereported.Plans to practice in a rural community were analyzedusingpre- and post-fair frequencies. This study was approved by the University of Miami Institutional Review Board. Participation was optional and anonymous. Anonymity was needed to ensure students did not feel coerced to participate or respond in a specific manner. To ensure anonymity, we did not collect names on the surveys or writtenconsent which was implied through survey completion. Analyses were performed using SAS, Version 9.2 (Cary, NC). Pvalues are provided for the comparisons described above with no adjustment made for multiple comparisons.

### Results

In total, 121 first-year medical students volunteered at a rural health fair, representing over 80% of the firstyear class.Of these students, 113 participated in our study; 93 completed a pre-fair survey (response rate, 77%) and 74 a post-fair survey (response rate, 61%), with54 completing both surveys (response rate, 45%). Few planned to practice as a primary care provider and most had never lived in a rural area or visited the Florida Keys (Table 1).

Table 1.Characteristics of all 93 students con	npleting a pre-fair
survey	
Characteristic	N (%)
Plan to practice as a primary care provider	
Yes	18 (20)
No	40 (44)
Undecided	33 (36)
Population of place most commonly lived in	
Less than 100000 people	21 (22)
100000 to 500000 people	30 (46)
Greater than 500000 people	41 (32)
Ever lived in a rural area	
Yes	16 (17)
No	77 (83)
Ever visited the Florida Keys	
Yes	7 (8)
No	86 (92)

Prior to the fair, participants agreed that the Florida Keys was a rural area and that residents of rural communities had different healthcare needs than urban residents (Table 2). Participants strongly agreed that the delivery of healthcare in rural areas was both important and different from that provided in urban settings (4.8 and 4.3, respectively). Participants agreed that all physicians should receive training in rural health and that too few physicians practice in rural areas (3.7 and 3.8, respectively). After the fair, participant attitudes were largely unchanged. There was a moderate increase in mean participant agreement that the Florida Keys should be classified as a rural area (3.2 vs. 3.7, p<0.01), and there was a small decrease in participant agreement that healthcare should be provided in rural areas (4.8 vs. 4.6, p< 0.01).

Statements*	Pre Fair Mean ± SE**	Post Fair Mean ± SE**	Р
The Florida Keys should be classified as a rural area with rural communities	3.2 ±0.1	3.7 ±0.1	<0.01
Residents of rural communities have different healthcare needs than urban residents	3.9 ±0.1	3.9 ± 0.1	0.78
The delivery of healthcare within rural areas is different than in urban areas	4.3 ± 0.1	4.1 ± 0.1	0.15
Providing healthcare services within rural areas is important	4.8 ± 0.1	4.6 ± 0.1	<0.01
All physicians should receive training in caring for rural communities	3.7 ± 0.1	3.6 ± 0.1	0.56
Too few physicians are currently practicing in rural communities	3.8 ± 0.1	3.8 ± 0.1	0.68
Too few physicians in training will practice in a rural community in the future	3.8 ± 0.1	3.8 ± 0.1	0.99
Physicians who practice medicine full time in urban areas will care for rural residents	3.2 ± 0.1	3.1 ± 0.1	0.61

#### Table 2.Attitudes regarding rural health issues of 113 first year medical students before and after participation in a rural health fair

\* Questions were asked using a Likert-like 1 to 5 scale with 1 weighted as, "Strongly disagree," and 5 weighted as, "Strongly agree". \*\* Means and SEs (standard errors) were estimated general linear mixed models to account for incomplete matching of pre- and post-far sur-

veys. Of 113 first year medical students, 93 completed the pre-fair survey and 74 completed the post-fair survey with 54 completing both

Prior to the fair, 6% of participants reported being, "likely," or, "very likely," to practice full-time in a rural area and another 35% reported a similar intent to practice part-time. After the fair, 14% of respondents reported being, "likely," or, "very likely," to practice full-time in a rural area and another 31% reported a similar intent to practice part-time; changes were not statistically significant.

After the fair, 91% of participants strongly agreed that the fair had improved patient health and 87% strongly agreed that their personal involvement had contributed to this. Further, 70% of participants strongly agreed that the fair had provided experience in the practice of rural medicine and another 20% of participants agreed with this statement.

#### DISCUSSION

Even among students at an urban medical school with varied interests in primary care, there was strong interest in volunteering at rural fairs and appreciation for the importance of rural health issues.Participation appeared to reinforce attitudes regarding rural health, and students strongly agreed that fairs provided rural medicine experience.

Students at other medical schools may have similarly stronginterests in obtaining rural healthexposure, andfairsmay be an attractive method for schools to offer such an experience. Fairs are daylong events thatcan be conducted without interfering with other curricular activities. Thoughthis also means that fairsonly offer limited exposure to rural health issues, this brevity may attract a greater number of students and reduce institutional commitments. Further, even a limited exposure may be much greater than what would other wise be obtained by students training in urban areas.Fairs have the added benefits of providinginterestedstudents with organization and leadership experiences as well as older students and residents with teaching experience.Fairs may also help strengthencommunity relationships.<sup>[20]</sup>

While participation appeared mainly to reinforce prior attitudes, two changes were statistically significant. First, a moderately increased awareness of the rurality of the Florida Keys likely reflects that many students had not previously considered the nature of their more extended surroundings. This may be true for students at other schools with fairs serving to increase community health appreciation. Second, the surprising decline in the importance assigned to providing healthcare in rural areas may be a chance finding or be related to fair patients having less acute issues; patients with severe or emergent disease are likely to seek care elsewhere.Regardless of the decline, students still felt the provision on health care in rural areas was important.

These results provide empirical support for the use of rural fairs to provide rural health exposure to students at urban medical schools. This experience promotes the development of clinical skills through direct patient contact, and participants felt strongly that their involvement helped improve patient health. For firstyear medical students, this experience is likely among their earliest clinical encounters, so it is encouraging to learn it was rewarding. Hopefully fair participation sparks a continuedinterest in promoting community health and volunteerism.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

There are no additional acknowledgements.

## **APPENDIX**

### 1. Pre-Fair Survey

No	an to practice mea s: General Interna : Medicine Speci decided	al Medicine, (	General Pediatri	cs, General OB	/Gyn, or Far		;
2. Which best describe 0-10,000	es the total popula 10,001-100,000		ace where you s ,001-500,000	pent the most ti 500,001-1,		ollege (circle >1,000,000	
3. Aside from College	, have you ever li	ved in a com	munity you cons	ider to be rural	?	YES	NO
4. Have you previously	y been to the Flor	ida Keys?				YES	NO
5. Have you previously	y been to a health	fair in the Fl	orida Keys?			YES	NO
How strongly do you a	agree with the sta	tements below	w (circle one):				
6. The Florida Keys sh (Strongly Disag		l as a rural ar 2	ea with resident 3	s living in rural 4	communitie 5	es? (Strongly A	Agree)
7. Residents of rural co (Strongly Disage		different hea 2	lthcare needs that 3	an urban resider 4	nts? 5	(Strongly A	Agree)
8. The delivery of heat (Strongly Disag		al areas is dif 2	ferent than the d 3	lelivery healthca 4	are in urban 5	areas? (Strongly A	Agree)
9. Providing healthcar (Strongly Disag		rural areas is 2	important? 3	4	5	(Strongly A	Agree)
10. All physicians sho (Strongly Disage		ng in caring f 2	for rural commun 3	nities? 4	5	(Strongly A	Agree)
11. Only physicians w (Strongly Disag		n rural comm 2	unities should tr 3	ain in caring for 4	r these com 5	munities? (Strongly A	Agree)
12. Too few physician (Strongly Disag	s are currently protection of the second sec	acticing in ru 2	ral communities 3	? 4	5	(Strongly A	Agree)
13. Too few physician (Strongly Disag		choose to pra 2	ctice in rural co 3	mmunity in the 4	future. 5	(Strongly A	Agree)
14. Physicians who pra (Strongly Disage		u <i>ll time</i> in url 2	ban areas will ca 3	re for rural resi 4	dents? 5	(Strongly A	Agree)
15. Upon completing y Very	your medical trair Unlikely	iing, how like Not Likely			<i>ll time</i> in a r ery Likely	rural area(circ	le one)?
16. Upon completing y Very	your medical trair Unlikely	ning, how like Not Likely	ely is it that you Lik		e <b>rt time</b> in a ery Likely	rural area(circ	le one)?

David C. Landy, et al.: Rural health fairs and student attitudes

# 2. Post-Fair Survey

How strongly do you agree with the statements below (circle one):

The Florida Ko (Strongly Disagree)	eys should be cla 1	assified as a rura 2	ll area with r 3	esidents living in 4	n rural comm 5	unities? (Strongly Agree)
Residents of ru (Strongly Disagree)	tral communitie 1	s have different	healthcare no 3	eeds than urban 1 4	residents? 5	(Strongly Agree)
The delivery o (Strongly Disagree)	f healthcare with 1	hin rural areas is 2	different that 3	an the delivery h 4	ealthcare in u 5	rban areas? (Strongly Agree)
Providing heal (Strongly Disagree)	theare services v 1	within rural area 2	s is importar 3	nt? 4	5	(Strongly Agree)
All physicians (Strongly Disagree)	should receive t 1	training in caring 2	g for rural co 3	mmunities? 4	5	(Strongly Agree)
Only physiciar (Strongly Disagree)	ns who will prac 1	tice in rural com 2	munities sho 3	ould train in cari 4	ng for these c 5	ommunities? (Strongly Agree)
Too few physic (Strongly Disagree)	cians are current 1	tly practicing in 2	rural commu 3	mities? 4	5	(Strongly Agree)
Too few physic (Strongly Disagree)	cians in training 1	will choose to p 2	practice in ru 3	ral community in 4	n the future. 5	(Strongly Agree)
Physicians who (Strongly Disagree)	o practice medic 1	cine <i>full time</i> in 2	urban areas v 3	will care for rura 4	l residents? 5	(Strongly Agree)
	ng your medica Jnlikely	l training, how l Not Likely			ce <i>full time</i> is ery Likely	n a rural area(circle one)?
	ng your medica Jnlikely	l training, how l Not Likely	ikely is it tha L		ce <i>part time</i> i ery Likely	in a rural area(circle one)?
DOCS run Flo (Strongly Disagree)	rida Keys health 1	n fairs improved 2	the health of 3	f the patient seen 4	? 5	(Strongly Agree)
My participatio (Strongly Disagree)	on in DOCS run 1	Florida Keys he 2	ealth fairs im 3	proved the healt 4	h of the patie 5	nts seen? (Strongly Agree)
Participation in (Strongly Disagree)	n DOCS run Flo 1	rida Keys health 2	1 fairs provid 3	ed experience in 4	the practice 5	of rural medicine? (Strongly Agree)

#### 3. Analyses including only matched pairs

3. Table: Attitudes regarding rural health issues of 54 first year medical students before and after participation in a rural health fair

Statements*	Pre Fair Mean**	Post Fair Mean**	Р
The Florida Keys should be classified as a rural area with rural communities	3.1	3.8	<.01
Residents of rural communities have different healthcare needs than urban residents	3.9	3.9	.96
The delivery of healthcare within rural areas is different than in urban areas	4.2	4.1	.44
Providing healthcare services within rural areas is important	4.8	4.5	.05
All physicians should receive training in caring for rural communities	3.7	3.6	.70
Too few physicians are currently practicing in rural communities	3.7	3.7	.96
Too few physicians in training will practice in a rural community in the future	3.8	3.7	.64
Physicians who practice medicine full time in urban areas will care for rural residents	3.1	3.1	.87

\* Questions were asked using a Likert-like 1 to 5 scale with 1 weighted as, "Strongly disagree," and 5 weighted as, "Strongly agree".

\*\* Means were estimated general linear mixed models to account for matching of pre- and post-far surveys. Because only the 54 first year medical students completing both the pre- and post-fair surveys were included, this analysis simplifies and replicates a paired t-test.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Casey MM, Thiede CK, Klingner JM. Are rural residents less likely to obtain recommended preventive healthcare services? Am J Prev Med 2001; 21(3): 182-8.
- **2.** Smith KB, Humphreys JS, Wilson MG. Addressing the health disadvantage of rural populations: how does epidemiological evidence inform rural health policies and research? Aust J Rural Health 2008; 16(2): 56-66.
- **3.** Chan L, Hart LG, Goodman DC. Geographic access to health care for rural Medicare beneficiaries. J Rural Health 2006; 22(2): 140-6.
- Blumenthal SJ, Kagen J. MSJAMA. The effects of socioeconomic status on health in rural and urban America. JAMA 2002; 287(1): 109.
- Movahedi M, Hajarizadeh B, Rahimi A, Arshinchi M, Amirhosseini K, Haghdoost AA. Trends and geographical inequalities of the main health indicators for rural Iran. Health Policy Plan 2009; 24(3): 229-37.
- Collins B, Borders TF, Tebrink K, Xu KT. Utilization of prescription medications and ancillary pharmacy services among rural elders in west Texas: distance barriers and implications for telepharmacy. J Health Hum ServAdm 2007; 30(1): 75-97.
- 7. Handel DA, Hedges JR. Improving rural access to emergency physicians. AcadEmerg Med 2007; 14(6): 562-5.
- 8. Rucker D, Hemmelgarn BR, Lin M, Manns BJ, Klarenbach SW, Ayyalasomayajula B, et al. Quality of care and mortality are worse in chronic kidney disease patients living in remote areas. Kidney Int 2011; 79(2): 210-7.
- **9.** Axelrod DA, Guidinger MK, Finlayson S, Schaubel DE, Goodman DC, Chobanian M, et al. Rates of solid-organ waitlisting, transplantation, and survival among residents of rural and urban areas. JAMA 2008; 299(2): 202-7.
- 10. Celaya MO, Rees JR, Gibson JJ, Riddle BL, Greenberg ER. Travel distance and season of diagnosis affect treatment choices for women with early-stage breast cancer in a predominantly rural population (United States). Cancer Causes Control 2006; 17(6): 851-6.
- **11.** Rabinowitz HK, Diamond JJ, Markham FW, Wortman JR. Medical school programs to increase the rural physician supply: a systematic review and projected impact of widespread replication. Acad Med 2008; 83(3): 235-43.
- **12.** Worley P, Murray R. Social accountability in medical education--an Australian rural and remote perspective. Med Teach 2011; 33(8): 654-8.
- **13.** Whitcomb ME. New medical schools in the United States. N Engl J Med 2010; 362(14): 1255-8.

- 14. Pathman DE, Taylor DH, Jr., Konrad TR, King TS, Harris T, Henderson TM, et al. State scholarship, loan forgiveness, and related programs: the unheralded safety net. JAMA 2000; 284(16): 2084-92.
- Sutherland L. Rural electives: a clinical and community experience. Can J Rural Med 2011; 16(3): 106.
- 16. Wilson NW, Bouhuijs PA, Conradie HH, Reuter H, Van Heerden BB, Marais B. Perceived educational value and enjoyment of a rural clinical rotation for medical students. Rural Remote Health 2008; 8(3): 999.
- 17. Kaye DK, Mwanika A, Sekimpi P, Tugumisirize J, Sewankambo N. Perceptions of newly admitted undergraduate medical students on experiential training on community placements and working in rural areas of Uganda. BMC Med Educ 2010; 10: 47.
- Deaville JA, Wynn-Jones J, Hays RB, Coventry PJ, McKinley RK, Randall-Smith J. Perceptions of UK medical students on rural clinical placements. Rural Remote Health 2009; 9(2): 1165.
- **19.** Landy DC, Gorin MA, O'Connell MT. Student-led rural health fairs: attempting to improve medical education and access to health care. South Med J 2011; 104(8): 598-603.
- **20.** Gazewood JD, Rollins LK, Galazka SS. Beyond the horizon: the role of academic health centers in improving the health of rural communities. Acad Med 2006; 81(9): 793-7.

How to cite this article: David C. Landy, Michael A. Gorin, Julio D. Egusquiza, Jonathan Weiss, Mark T. O'Connell. Medical student attitudes before and after participationin rural health fairs. J Res Med Sci 2012; 17(3): .298-303

Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of Interest: None declared.