1

Performance of urinalysis tests in screening for significant bacteriuria

Sir,

Dear Editor, the report on "Performance of urinalysis tests in screening for significant bacteriuria is very interesting."[1] Vignesh et al. concluded that "the results of rapid dipstick urinalysis tests might not be sufficient enough to replace the conventional urine culture method, and the clinical decision is to be made only based on the culture and sensitivity results among the HIV-infected patients."[1] Indeed, this result can be expected since the basic investigation technique for any dipstick test is dry biochemistry which cannot replace the gold standard technique of microscopic and microbiological tests. In a recent report, it is noted that the use of nonstandard technique for urinalysis test aiming at the diagnosis of infection is very common and becomes a big problem in clinical general practice. [2] Nevertheless, the use of dipstick test might still be useful in large-scale primary screening. The confirmation for positive case by standard referencing technique is the next step.

Financial support and sponsorship Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

Beuy Joob, Viroj Wiwanitkit¹

Sanitation 1 Medical Academic Center, Bangkok, Thailand,

Department of Community Medicine, Dr. DY Patil University,
Mumbai, Maharashtra, India

Address for correspondence: Dr. Beuy Joob, Sanitation 1 Medical Academic Center, Bangkok, Thailand. E-mail: beuyjoob@hotmail.com

REFERENCES

- Vignesh R, Swathirajan CR, Solomon SS, Solomon S, Balakrishnan P. Performance of urinalysis tests in screening for significant bacteriuria among human immunodeficiency virus-infected subjects in South India. J Res Med Sci 2017;22:77.
- Kinouani S, de Lary de Latour H, Joseph JP, Letrilliart L. Diagnostic strategies for urinary tract infections in French general practice. Med Mal Infect 2017. pii: S0399-077X(17) 30637-6.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.



How to cite this article: Joob B, Wiwanitkit V. Performance of urinalysis tests in screening for significant bacteriuria. J Res Med Sci 2017;22:129.