

A management plan for hospitals and medical centers facing radiation incidents

Fereshteh Davari, Arash Zahed¹

Health Management and Economics Research Center, ¹Vice-chancellery for Research and Technology, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran

Background: Nowadays, application of nuclear technology in different industries has largely expanded worldwide. Proportionately, the risk of nuclear incidents and the resulting injuries have, therefore, increased in recent years. Preparedness is an important part of the crisis management cycle; therefore efficient preplanning seems crucial to any crisis management plan. Equipped with facilities and experienced personnel, hospitals naturally engage with the response to disasters. The main purpose of our study was to present a practical management pattern for hospitals and medical centers in case they encounter a nuclear emergency. **Materials and Methods:** In this descriptive qualitative study, data were collected through experimental observations, sources like Safety manuals released by the International Atomic Energy Agency and interviews with experts to gather their ideas along with Delphi method for polling, and brainstorming. In addition, the 45 experts were interviewed on three targeted using brainstorming and Delphi method. **Results:** We finally proposed a management plan along with a set of practicality standards for hospitals and medical centers to optimally respond to nuclear medical emergencies when a radiation incident happens nearby. **Conclusion:** With respect to the great importance of preparedness against nuclear incidents adoption and regular practice of nuclear crisis management codes for hospitals and medical centers seems quite necessary.

Key words: Crisis management, disasters, hospital management, nuclear incidents, preparedness for incidents

How to cite this article: Davari F, Zahed A. A management plan for hospitals and medical centers facing radiation incidents. *J Res Med Sci* 2015;20:871-8.

INTRODUCTION

Preparedness of emergency medical staff and health care units against nuclear incidents is nowadays a global concern.^[1] Despite numerous useful applications of radioactive isotopes and nuclear energy in medicine, science, industries and technologies, and radiation injuries on human organs remain to be a growing nightmare even for pioneers of nuclear technology.^[2] Nuclear incidents may also occur via the use of atomic warfare or as an act of terrorism.^[3] Hospitals and health care centers are among first responding units in times of crisis. It is simply because when a crisis breaks out, people rush into hospitals to seek not only medical attention but also a safe refuge.^[4] Therefore, it is wise to assume hospitals as an integral part of the first

line of response to any nuclear incident regardless of its domain and severity.^[1] In most countries of the world, there are emergency medical services that play a fundamental role in managing the response to critical incidents.^[5] In Canada, for example, there are infrastructures at the federal level to plan and educate staff for such incidents. The Canadian Emergency Management College specially conducts training programs on chemical, biological, radiological, and nuclear emergencies. Of course in this country, the best teams of responders are formed as a result of continuous short-term training.^[6] The main objective of an emergency medical team in a nuclear incident is to save as many lives of the severely injured casualties as possible. In order to achieve this goal, it would be inevitable to actively engage all levels of medical services in training, exercises, and maneuvers aimed to improve preparedness against nuclear events.^[7]

Access this article online

Quick Response Code:



Website:
www.jmsjournal.net

DOI:
10.4103/1735-1995.170615

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprints@medknow.com

Address for correspondence: Dr. Arash Zahed, Vice-chancellery for Research and Technology, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran.
E-mail: zahed@mui.ac.ir

Received: 01-11-2014; **Revised:** 29-04-2015; **Accepted:** 08-09-2015

Training programs for preparedness against chemical, microbiological, and nuclear threats are now integral parts of medical education worldwide.^[8] Lack of trained personnel in many nuclear incidents has given rise to morbidity and mortality. According to some reports, in some instances, medical staff refused to attend to nuclear victims since they were too scared of being contaminated or radiated from their patients.^[1]

The International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) has repeatedly requested countries with nuclear technology to take precautionary measures and gain preparedness against the potential life hazards and the consequences of radioactive incidents.^[1] Therefore, despite all progresses made to date, a constant need to improve both knowledge and skills of emergency medical staff, physicians, nurses, radiation biologists, and the police in managing nuclear incidents is highly felt.^[3] Above all, management needs experience, skill, intelligence, speed in reaction, and the ability to make wise decisions quickly.^[9] In this study, our main objective was to propose a management model through which medical centers management system would enjoy greater managerial abilities and a more comprehensive state of planning and preparedness, in case they encounter a nuclear emergency.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This descriptive qualitative study was based on Delphi interview method and formed part of a Master's degree thesis at Payame Noor University, Tehran, Iran registered under 1495/13/100248. Delphi method is an attractive research tool with great flexibility. It forms a process to collect and distil anonymous judgments of specialists and experts using a series of data collection and analysis techniques. The Delphi method is well suited as a research instrument when there is incomplete knowledge about a problem or a phenomenon.^[10] Our study took an applied approach of Delphi with the researcher being a participant as an observer. While participating in group activities, the observer informed principle members and participants of his own identity and objectives of the study.

Statistical population

The study population consisted of the research group on medical consequences of nuclear incident ($n = 30$) and the task group on health management in disasters ($n = 15$) considering the aim of the study, that is, to design and plan a model, and the small statistical population, the census method was used, and thus the whole population was included.

Study tools

Data were collected through experimental observations, sources such as safety manuals released by IAEA^[11] and interviews with experts to gather their ideas along with Delphi method for polling, and brainstorming.

RESULTS

The primary objective of the study was to predict the conditions for the occurrence of a nuclear incident that to achieve this objective, the opinions of experts using the Delphi questionnaire were prepared. First, the following questions were raised and answered:

1. Under what conditions would a nuclear accident occur?
Answer:
 - A. Intentional injuries caused by terrorist groups included:
 - Using dirty bombs.
 - Attacks on nuclear facilities.
 - Attack on vehicles carrying radioactive materials.
 - B. Accident that is caused by recklessness. Included:
 - Nuclear explosions caused by industrial accidents.
 - Accidents while transferring radioactive materials.
 - In medical centers, and in radiotherapy due to use of toxic doses.
 - Theft of radioactive materials.
2. What type will the possible accident be (nuclear, chemical, or both)?
Answer: Both chemical and radiation accident is likely to occur.
3. What caused the accident? What is the highest level possible threat?
Answer: Occurrence of an event of limited nuclear safety standards broken, Faced with the possibility of staff but there is the possibility to control the center. The biggest threat may be the possibility of radioactive and chemical contamination, there are 1000 people.

In the second stage, the following questions were answered:

1. What is an appropriate geographical location for a desirable medical center?
Answer: It is about 10 km from the center of prehospital emergency facility and from Advanced Medical Center (hospital) is about 30-40 km.
2. What conditions are necessary to provide appropriate medical response to nuclear accidents possible at a treatment center?
Answer: Preparation of nuclear emergency by:
 - Create an appropriate physical space.
 - Supply requirements.
 - Human resources needed.
 - Training of human resources.
 - Hospital Disaster Committee.

In the third stage, the following questions were answered:

1. What physical properties should an apt medical center for individuals suspected of chemical or radioactive contamination have?
The answer to this question is presented in Figure 1.
2. What medical equipment is required for treating 1000 possible casualties in a nuclear emergency center?
The answer is as presented in Table 1.
3. How much medication do you predict a nuclear emergency center with 1000 possible casualties will need?
The answer to this question is presented in Table 2.
4. What specialties should the personnel of a medical center have? How many of each?
The answer to this question is provided in Table 3.
5. What are the duties of the radiation emergency response team?
The answer to this question is provided in Table 4.
6. What knowledge and skills should the personnel of a medical center have?

- The answer to this question is presented in Table 5.
7. How should the casualties be transferred from the scene to the medical center?

Answer: Decontamination and treatment of skin infection with HF is necessary for people who are infected by HF in the ambulances are used to transport




Figure 1: Prehospital Emergency Department plan

Table 1: Required medical equipment for 1000 potential casualties

Equipment	Quantity	Equipment	Quantity	Equipment	Quantity
Projector	5	Sterile gloves	2000 pairs	Soap bar	1000
Water-resistant fabric	3000 m	Disposable dressing set	500	Liquid soap	500 lit
Scissors	100 pair	Airway, different sizes	300	Shampoo	1000
Large sturdy garbage bags	1000 kg	Lubricating gel	300	Vests, in different colors	300
Wide adhesive tape	100 rolls	Scalpel	1000	Tongues forceps	10 pairs
Flashlight and battery	100	Foley catheter	500	Long pliers	10 pairs
Wide, disposable bed sheets	2000 m	Test tube	3000	Toothbrush and toothpaste	1000
Bin	20	Scrub brush	100	Cotton gloves	20 pairs
Rope	300 m	Latex gloves	500 packs	Tall boots	500 pairs
Plastic badges with neck straps in 4 colors	1000 each	Lead container to collect radioactive contaminated materials	10	Branol in green, pink and blue	1000
Saline solution	3000 bags	Urine bag	3000	15 cm plaster	1500
Ringer's solution	2000 bags	Normal and vaseline gauze	20000 packs	20 cm plaster	500
1/3 and 2/3 IV solution	2000 bags	Serum Tee	500	Chest tube set	30
IV normal saline	4000 bags	Nasal canola	500	Suture set	200
Saline solution	1000	Two-ply mask for staff	500	Neurosurgery set	2
Dextrose 5% solution	1000	Suture, different sizes	3000	Orthopedic bin	50
Infusion set	10000	Peripheral blood slide	15	Orthopedic drill	4
10 cm plaster	1000	Chest lead	3000 packs	Finger pulse oximetry	100
Yellow angiocath	300	Blue van set	1000	Surgery gown	200
Anti-allergic tape	2000	Nelaton catheter	1500	Sterile gauze	2000 packs
Leucoplast	2000	Disposable chest tube	20	Sterile gauze sponge	1000 packs
Suction head	3000	Eye pad	1000	10 and 15 cm Orthopedic padding bandage	2000
Syringe (all sizes) and insulin syringe	20,000 in total	Gown packs, drapes, and disposable sheet	300 packs	Bandage (elastic, ordinary, burn)	20,000 packs
Abeslang	1000	Micro-set	500	Plaster saw	5
Adult chamber pot	20	Electroshock paper	100 rolls	Electric tourniquet	10
X-ray developer and fixer	3 sets	Suction pipe	3000	Vascular surgery set	5
NG tube, blue, orange, green	2000	Cheattle forceps and dish	200	Rechargeable lamp	5
Endotracheal tube (different sizes)	1000	X-ray film	5 cartons	Tissue paper	100 boxes
Portable radio	4	Color markers	10	Cabled 3-way outlet	5
Cotton	100 packs	Disposable glass	1000	Noninfectious waste bin	200
Large gas cylinder	20 kg	Infectious waste bin	200	Blanket	3000

Table 2: Required medications in nuclear emergency center for 1000 potential casualties

Drug	Quantity	Drug	Quantity	Drug	Quantity
Acetic acid	20 lit	Xylocaine 2% ampoule	300	Glucose vial 2%	40
Morphine	Unlimited	Xylocaine 1%	10	Glucose vial 50%	60
Fentanyl	Unlimited	Xylocaine 2% vial	10	Xylocaine spray	10
Midazolam ampoule	1000	Inderal ampoule	30	Pearl TNG	200
Alprozolam tablets 5 mg	1000	Amiodarone ampoule	30	Hydrogen peroxide	20 lit
Ceftriaxone vial 1 g	100	Procainamide ampoule	10	Benzalconium	Unlimited
Cefixime 400	500	Dopamine ampoule	60	Leolak	200
Ciprofloxacin 500	500	Dubotamine vial	60	Plazyl ampoule	150
Azithromycin capsules	200	TNG ampoule	15	Alcohol 96%	30 lit
Gentamicin 80 ampoule	500	Verapamil ampoule	15	Green betadine 1 lit	60
Cefazolin 1 g	500	Frosomide ampoule	50	Betadine scrub	
Topical tetracycline gel	100	Sodium nitroprusside	20	Sulfastamide 20%	10
Captopril 25 tablets	500	Heparin ampoule	40	Calcium bicarbonate drops	40
Enalapril 5 tablets	500	Hydrocortisone ampoule	100	Ciprofloxacin drops	10
Adalat capsules	500	Dexamethasone ampoule	100	Atropine ampoule	150
Prazosin 1 tablets	500	Aminofilin ampoule	50	Intravenous epinephrine ampoule	150
Atenolol 100 tablets	1000	Diazepam ampoule	50	Calcium gluconate	Unlimited
Trymetrin H tablets	500	Phenytoin ampoule	100	Ondansetron ampoule	150
Hydrochlorothiazide tablets	500	Phenobarbital ampoule	50	Tetracycline eye ointment	50
Tetracaine drops	20	Distilled water	1000	Calcium gel	unlimited
Methylprednisolone ampoule	30	Sodium bicarbonate vial	200	Cutaneous gentamicin ointment	50
Nephazolin eye drops	10	Sodium chloride vial	50	Pethidine 50	unlimited

Table 3: Required personnel numbers and specialties of the nuclear emergency center

Gate	Specialist physician	General practitioner	Skilled nurse	Semi-skilled nurse	Service staff	Patient ambulant
Gate 1	1	-	2	2	1	6
Gate 2	-	-	-	2	2	6
Gate 3	-	-	1	3	3	6
Gate 4	3	20	52	100	3	30
Gate 5	3	-	2	2	1	6
Gate 6	3	5	10	20	4	4
Gate 7	2	5	9	20	4	4
Gate 8	2	5	9	20	4	4
Gate 9	2	5	9	20	4	4
Gate 10	-	-	-	-	4	-
Total	16	40	94	189	30	70

Table 4: Duties of radiation emergency response team

Personnel	Duties
Group coordinator (head)	Leadership, consultation, and coordination of affairs
Emergency physician	Diagnosis, treatment, and emergency care, and as team coordinator or triage official
Triage official	Triage
Nurse	Nursing care, sample collection, decontamination, patients' needs assessment, required interventions
Medical documents official	Recording medical and radiation information and reporting to authorities
Radiation protection official	Contamination control
Radiation protection personnel	Patient control, environmental control with monitoring system and radiation measuring equipment's
Information announcement official	Transfer of information to mass media
Management	Interdepartmental coordination
Physical security	traffic control
Maintenance personnel	Nuclear emergency decontamination readiness
Laboratory technician	Analysis of test samples

patients.

of hospital management system)?

8. Determine the hospital committee structure (diagram The answer to this question is presented in Figure 2.




Figure 2: Hospital committee structure

Table 5: Required training headings

Headings for dealing with nuclear accident

Radiation physics, radiation types, forms of exposure and complications
 Types of nuclear accidents and different danger levels
 Hospital disaster management system
 Preparation of hospitals for radiation incidents
 Care for radiation casualties
 Radiation exposure diagnostic methods
 Self-protection against radiation

 Radiation casualty triage
 Radiation contamination complications on different body systems
 Symptoms and treatment of patients with ARS
 Decontamination of radiation casualties
 Decontamination of radioactive materials casualties
 Medications used for nuclear casualties
 Ambulation of nuclear casualties
 ARS = Acute radiation syndrome; HF = Hydrogen fluoride

Headings for dealing with nuclear-related chemical accidents

Hydrofluoric acid properties
 Self-care against hydrofluoric acid
 Diagnostic methods of exposure to hydrofluoric acid
 Decontamination of chemical casualties of HF
 Ambulation of chemical casualties
 Treatment of chemical casualties
 Hydrofluoric acid contamination complications for body systems (skin, eye, gastrointestinal tract, and lungs)

DISCUSSION

Intentional and unintentional nuclear incidents are likely in such cases as acts of terrorism using dirty bombs, attacks on nuclear facilities, attack on vehicles carrying radioactive materials, theft of radioactive materials, nuclear explosions caused by industrial accidents, accidents while transferring radioactive materials, loss, release in medical centers, and in radiotherapy due to use of toxic doses.^[11] Emergency Department Clinicians play an important role in the management of terrorist incidents

involving radioactive materials. Emergency Department is considered the frontline department for primary care of patients transferred to the hospital and those attending on their own. From diagnosis to treatment, actions of Emergency Department Clinicians are observed by the patients, and success or failure of incident management and health outcomes stays in their minds.^[4] Implementation of appropriate treatment protocols for nuclear accident casualties and research to find more effective treatment protocols, the right and accurate understanding of mechanisms of radiation and other issues related to

nuclear casualties, all require training of expert manpower, or more accurately, team making.^[1] A study by Kollek *et al.* conducted to assess scientific and practical readiness of emergency medical services in Canada to deal with chemical, biological, radiological, and nuclear accidents showed that out of 1028 respondents, only 63% had received theoretical and practical training for working in contaminated areas, and 37% had received no training at all.^[6] Furthermore, Niska *et al.* in a study aimed to assess readiness for terrorist incidents emergency response programs in 66 hospitals across the USA reported nearly all hospitals (97.3%) had natural crisis response plan, but readiness to respond to chemical incidents was 85.5%, microbial incidents 84.8%, nuclear and radiological incidents 77.2%, and other potential incidents 76.9%. Hospital incident command and microbial, chemical, and radiological exposures were among topics of their training, and hospital staff training percentage varied from 92.1% for nurses to 49.2% for residents, and natural crisis maneuvers had been practiced more than nuclear, microbial, or chemical incidents. Terrorist incidents maneuver had been practiced only in 1/5th of hospitals. Hospitals often cooperated with emergency medical services, fire department, and legal agencies in their maneuvers.^[12] Rubinshtein *et al.* (2002) suggested the training needs of emergency medical personnel to care for mass casualties of chemical, microbial, and nuclear accidents that had been extracted by the American College of Emergency Physicians in 2001 in collaboration with emergency centers and other national organizations as follows:

- Medical response to microbial terrorist incidents.
- Medical response to chemical terrorist incidents.
- Medical care planning for radiological incidents.
- Readiness of hospital backup system.
- Readiness of medical emergency system's technicians.
- Emergency response to terrorism.^[8]

The design of hospitals for treatment of nuclear casualties is slightly different from other hospitals. These centers have special decontamination sections with separate drainage to store contaminated waters.^[13] With radioactive contamination on accident site, the contamination is likely to spread throughout the hospital, personnel, and facilities. It should be noted that radioactive contamination may be widespread. Thus, a radiation emergency area should be set up, so that radioactive contamination materials can be controlled.^[14] There should be a roofed space for ambulances in a well-equipped center, with decontamination facilities. Treatment priorities are decided aboard the ambulance or on the stretcher. Irradiated people are monitored on entry to the institution. Contaminated clothing is removed and bagged. Waiting room, surgery, and care units are located near the entrance. Irradiated people are then transferred to the next section for decontamination.^[15] The best radioactive

contamination control center should have the following conditions:

- The center should have appropriate equipment for primary measures for rescuing patients and for cardiopulmonary resuscitation.
- The center should have direct contact with the outside, so that patients can enter this area directly from the ambulance, to avoid spread of contamination to the environment.
- This area should be decontaminated and close to a specialized center for treatment of patients, or if possible, should have a door for patients' entry to that section.^[13]

Center for Disease Control and National Center for Prevention of Environmental Risks and Radiation Effects on Health Group in Atlanta in 2003 proposed the following hospital emergency programs:

- Care for hospital staff.
- Care for staff families.
- Staff training.
- Role and duties of response team.
- Attention to staff using a checklist and reviewing it during mass casualty incidents.
- Establishing and testing communication systems.
- Appropriate physical space in hospitals.
- Monitoring staff while performing duties through extension of registration system.
- Creating a secondary assessment center.^[16]

Population monitoring should begin immediately after report of a nuclear incident and continue until full assessment.^[17] Primary and secondary assessment stages should be repeated frequently and continue until occurrence of any changes or intensification of victim's symptoms and necessary actions as these symptoms occur.^[18]

Casualties are classified according to what has happened to them:

- External exposure to radiation.
- Contamination after external exposure.
- Physical injury.

Classification of casualties of radiological incident is performed by emergency physicians and radiation safety officer.^[19] Generally, depending on intensity of incident, available resources, and intensity of incident consequences, there are three levels of treatment for radiation incident patients:

- First aids, available on the scene of accident.
- Primary medical examinations, minor investigations, and treatment in general hospitals.
- Full examinations, tests, and treatment of irradiated people in specialized hospitals.^[7]

The primary objective of initial response is to preserve lives,^[20] and that of decontamination is to reduce or prevent further absorption and toxicity of nuclear, microbial, and chemical patients, and to avoid patients' secondary contamination.^[21] Radioactive contamination is not an immediate threat to life, and decontamination can usually be done after emergency treatment. Therefore, medical care should take priority.^[22]

Siegel also considers objectives of treatment of irradiation as prevention of further radioactive contamination, treatment of harmed organs, reducing symptoms, and pain management.^[23]

Saenger in expressing physician's role cites the followings:

First: Physician has full authority in the diagnosis, treatment, and education of the patient.

Second: Physician should assure citizens that treatment techniques employed are successful.

Third: Physician should play a consulting role in Incident Management Committee.^[24]

CONCLUSION

With respect to the great importance of preparedness against nuclear incidents especially in countries where nuclear technology is either applied or is being developed, adoption, and regular practice of nuclear crisis management codes for hospitals and medical centers seems quite necessary. Drilling the proposed plan in simulated nuclear emergency maneuvers can help improve this model and reveal its probable defects in real settings.

Acknowledgments

Authors would like to appreciate the efforts of the Research Committee on the Health and Medical consequences of radiation incidents. Authors would also like to thank Elahe Mazaheri for her assistance in editing the paper text.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTIONS

FD and AZ contributed in the conception of the work, conducting the study, revising the draft, approval of the final version of the manuscript, and agreed for all aspects of the work.

REFERENCES

1. Tavakoli H, Moddares-Mosli SM, Azadmarzabadi E. Review of training needs of medical staff for dealing with nuclear victims. Tehran: Tabeshi; 2008.
2. Advisory Committee on Radiological Protection Canada, Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission. Guidelines on Hospital Emergency Plans for the Management of Minor Radiation Accidents. Ottawa: Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission; 2001.
3. Coleman CN, Hrdina C, Bader JL, Norwood A, Hayhurst R, Forsha J, *et al.* Medical response to a radiologic/nuclear event: Integrated plan from the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Preparedness and Response, Department of Health and Human Services. *Ann Emerg Med* 2009;53:213-22.
4. Becker SM, Middleton SA. Improving hospital preparedness for radiological terrorism: Perspectives from emergency department physicians and nurses. *Disaster Med Public Health Prep* 2008;2:174-84.
5. Kelen GD, McCarthy ML. Developing the science of health care emergency preparedness and response. *Disaster Med Public Health Prep* 2009;3 2 Suppl:S2-3.
6. Kollek D, Wanger K, Welsford M. Chemical, biological, radiological and nuclear preparedness training for emergency medical services provider. *CJEM* 2009;11:337-42.
7. Golfam F. Step by step training for dealing with radiation incidents 1, research of nuclear science and technology. Isfahan: Kankash; 2008.
8. Rubinshtein R, Robenshtok E, Eisenkraft A, Vidan A, Hourvitz A. Training Israeli medical personnel to treat casualties of nuclear, biologic and chemical warfare. *Isr Med Assoc J* 2002;4:545-8.
9. Ahmadi SA, Rasoli R, Ragabzadeh-Ghtri A, Poyae-Gholizadeh P. Crisis management model with focus on human resources management in Tehran hospitals. Tehran: Tehran University; 2002.
10. Hsu CC, Brian A. The delphi technique: Making sense of consensus. *Practical Assessment Research and Evaluation* 2007;12:1-8.
11. International Atomic Energy Agency. Manual for First Responders to a Radiological Emergency. Vienna: International Atomic Energy Agency; 2006.
12. Niska RW, Burt CW. Bioterrorism and mass casualty preparedness in hospitals: United States, 2003. *Adv Data* 2005;364:1-14.
13. Glofham F. Step by step training for dealing with radiation incidents 2, research of nuclear science and technology. Isfahan: Kankash; 2010.
14. Shahi Z, Tavakoli MB. Medical care in radiation incidents. Tehran: Boshra/Tohfeh; 2007.
15. Hedaiaati M. Technical and practical guide for working with radiation, radiation effects on health and medical care, Iran Atomic Energy Organization, National Nuclear Safety System Deputy. Tehran: IAEA Publications/Niro Publication; 1999.
16. Bolandparvaz SH. Assessment and care beyond casualties. In: *Assessment and Primary Care of Victims*. Tehran: Institute of Higher Education Scientific-Applied Helal Iran; 2006.
17. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Roundtable on Hospital Communications in a Mass Casualty Radiological: Event, Participants' Comments, Ideas, and Recommendations, A Summary Report. Atlanta: CDC; 2003. Available from: <http://www.bt.cdc.gov/radiation/pdf/hospitalroundtablereport.pdf> [Last accessed on 2013 Aug 23].
18. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Population Monitoring in Radiation Emergencies: A Guide for State and Local Public Health Planners. Atlanta: CDC; 2007. Available from: <http://www.bt.cdc.gov/radiation/pdf/popmon.pdf>

- www.emergency.cdc.gov/radiation/pdf/population-monitoring-guide1sted.pdf [Last accessed on 2013 Aug 28]
19. Dainiak N, Delli Carpini D, Bohan M, Werdmann M, Wilds E, Barlow A, *et al.* Development of a statewide hospital plan for radiologic emergencies. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2006;65:16-24.
 20. Homeland Security Council Interagency Policy Coordination Subcommittee for Preparedness & Response to Radiological and Threats. Planning Guidance for Response to a Nuclear Detonation; 2009. Available from: <http://www.remm.nlm.gov/planning-guidance.pdf> [Last accessed on 2013 Aug 23]
 21. Koenig KL. Preparedness for terrorism: Managing nuclear, biological and chemical threats. *Ann Acad Med Singapore* 2009;38:1026-30.
 22. James DC. Radiation emergencies: A nurse can lead. *J Radiol Nurs* 2006;25:101-5.
 23. Siegel D. Preparation for terrorist threats: Radiation injury. *Clin Pediatr Emerg Med* 2009;10:136-9.
 24. Saenger EL. Symposium on the health aspects of nuclear power plant incidents. Regional organization of medical care. *Bull N Y Acad Med* 1983;59:1095-107.

