

Risk factors and prevalence of high resistant *Acinetobacter* spp among hospitalized patients

Sir,

Acinetobacter spp. have emerged as an important cause of Health care Associated Infection in recent years. There are complications in the infection control and treatment of patients who were infected with *Acinetobacter* spp. because of the extraordinary ability of this bacterium to survive in environment for long periods and its propensity to acquire multiple antibiotic resistance mechanisms.^[1] This cross-sectional study was performed for determination prevalence of clinical isolates of *Acinetobacter* spp., antibiotic resistance pattern and risk factors associated with acquisition of colonization/infection with extensively drug resistant (XDR) *Acinetobacter* spp. in the specialty teaching hospital, in Isfahan, Iran.

During the 4 month period between October 2011 and Jan 2012, we collected 865 clinical specimens, including different clinical specimens from various wards. *Acinetobacter* was identified by conventional methods. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing was done using the disk diffusion method according to Clinical Laboratory and Standards Institute (CLSI-2011) guidelines.^[2] A total of 69 *Acinetobacter* spp. were isolated. A total of 36 patients (52.17%) were female. Most of *Acinetobacter* spp. were isolated from tracheal tube secretion with 14 (20.3%) positive cultures. In surveillance of antibiotic susceptibility tests, we founded that 60 (86.95%) isolates were XDR, because of their resistance to all of 11 antibiotics [Table 1]. This is

comparable with a report from USA that showed 46% of isolates were resistant to all of conventional antibiotics.^[3] Totally, 66 isolates (95.65%) were carbapenem-resistant. In the six patients, *Acinetobacter* was isolated from multiple sites or one site for several times. It should be mentioned that all of six patients were infected or colonized with XDR strains, died during our study. Thus, this presumption exists that in some cases death was related to *Acinetobacter* infection or infection had accelerated patients' death rate. Significant risk factors for colonization/infection with XDR-strains were hospitalized duration ($P = 0.048$), underlying disease ($P = 0.016$), and corticosteroid usage ($P = 0.030$). In this study prevalence of *Acinetobacter* spp. was 7.98% while in Korea was 6.6%.^[4] Many investigations reported prevalence of *Acinetobacter* particularly in the intensive care unit (ICU) ward. Prevalence of *Acinetobacter* spp. in the ICU ward of a hospital in Tehran was 22.4% and was most frequently isolated organism,^[5] while in our study was 71.0%. This discrepancy may be due to several factors such as the condition of patients, management of infection control programs, type of strains and antibiotic resistance pattern of isolates that it is effective in increasing of survival rate of them in the environment and colonization on the body of patients.

With increasing in prevalence of *Acinetobacter* spp. infections specially XDR-isolates, it is critical utilization of procedures that can reduce emersion and prevalence of XDR-*Acinetobacter* spp. among hospitalized patients.

AUTHORS CONTRIBUTION

Dr. Bahram Nasr Esfahani was co-author of study and coordinated preparation of manuscript. Arezoo Pourdad coordinated collection and surveillance of demographic and medical data of patients of study and experimental studies. Mojtaba Akbari performed statistical analysis of data. Tahere Motallebi Rad coordinated design of

Table 1: Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of *Acinetobacter* spp

Antibiotic class	Antibiotic	S ^a	I ^b	R ^c	CI 95%
Aminoglycosides	Amikacin	4 (5.7)*	6 (8.6)	59 (85.5)	77.19-93.81
	Gentamicin	1(1.4)	1 (1.4)	67 (97.1)	93.14-101.06
Carbapenems	Imipenem	3 (4.3)	1(1.4)	65 (94.2)	88.68-99.72
	Meropenem	3(4.3)	0	66 (95.65)	90.84-100.46
Cephems	Cefepime	0	0	69 (100)	-
	Ceftazidime	1(1.4)	1(1.4)	67 (97.1)	93.14-101.06
Quinolones	Ciprofloxacin	0	0	69 (100)	-
	Levofloxacin	0	1(1.4)	68 (98.55)	95.73-101.37
Penicillin+ inhibitor	Piperacillin-tazobactam	1(1.4)	0	68 (98.55)	95.73-101.37
Penicillin	Piperacillin	0	0	69(100)	-
Monobactam	Aztreonam	0	0	69(100)	-

Data presented as N (%); a = Susceptible; b = Intermediate; c = Resistant; CI = confidence interval

study and performed clinical and experiments studies and achieved Data of work. Manuscript is written by her. Dr. Hossein Fazeli designed the study and procedures and methods and contributed to editing of manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful to Vice-chancellor for Research, Isfahan University of medical sciences for financial support of the present study (research project number 390454).

Hossein Fazeli, Tahere Motallebi Rad, Bahram Nasr Esfahani, Arezoo Pouredad¹, Mojtaba Akbari²

Departments of Microbiology and ²Epidemiology and Statistics, Faculty of Medicine, ¹Department of Infection Control, Al-Zahra Hospital, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran

Address for correspondence: Ms. Tahere Motallebi Rad,
Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine,
Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran.
E-mail: tmotalebirad@yahoo.com

REFERENCES

1. Harris AD, McGregor JC, Furuno JP. What infection control interventions should be undertaken to control multidrug-resistant gram-negative bacteria? *Clin Infect Dis* 2006;43: S57-61.
2. Wayne P. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing; Twenty-first Informational Supplement. *Clin Laboratory Standards Institute* 2011;31:M100-S21.
3. Dent LL, Marshall DR, Pratap S, Hulette RB. Multidrug resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*: A descriptive study in a city hospital. *BMC Infect Dis* 2010;10:196.
4. Lee K, Kim MN, Kim JS, Hong HL, Kang JO, Shin JH, *et al*. Further increases in carbapenem-, amikacin-, and fluoroquinolone-resistant isolates of *Acinetobacter* spp. and *P. aeruginosa* in Korea: KONSAR study 2009. *Yonsei Med J* 2011;5:793-802.
5. Mohammadtaheri Z, Pourpaki M, Mohammadi F, Namdar R, Masjedi MR. Surveillance of antimicrobial susceptibility among bacterial isolates from intensive care unit patients of a tertiary-care university hospital in Iran: 2006-2009. *Chemotherapy* 2010;56:478-84.