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Attenuation of the pressor response to direct laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation: oral clonidine vs. oral gabapentin premedication
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Abstract

BACKGROUND: We carried out this study to compare the efficacy of oral gabapentin and clonidine premedication for controlling the pressor responses to laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation.

METHODS: In this double-blind clinical trial, ninety-six patients were randomly allocated to one of three groups according to the agents to be used before the induction of anaesthesia: Group P (n = 32) received oral placebo, Group G (n = 32) received 800 mg of gabapentin, and Group C (n = 32) received 0.3 mg of clonidine 90 minutes prior to surgery. Systolic arterial pressure (SAP), diastolic arterial pressure (DAP), mean arterial pressure (MAP), heart rate (HR) and rate pressure product (RPP) were measured at baseline (3 min before induction), just before laryngoscopy, and postintubation (at 1, 3, 5, 10 and 15 min after starting laryngoscopy). Statistical analysis of data was done with repeated measure ANOVA and chi-square test.

RESULTS: HR and RPP significantly decreased in Group G and Group C at 5, 10, and 15 minutes after tracheal intubation compared with those just before laryngoscopy (p < 0.05). No significant difference was noted between Group G and Group C considering these variables. SAP, DAP, MAP and RPP at 1, 3, 5, 10, and 15 minutes after intubation were significantly lower in Group G compared with Group P (p < 0.05). There was no significant difference between Group C and Group P in this regard.

CONCLUSIONS: The present study demonstrated that premedication with oral gabapentin 800 mg or clonidine 0.3 mg similarly blunted the hyperdynamic response after laryngoscopy and intubation.
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tracheal intubation.

Also, Nishikawa et al\textsuperscript{10} showed that clonidine 4 µg/kg, a direct-acting α\textsubscript{2} adrenergic agonist, could attenuate blunted catecholamine release and the pressor responses following laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation.

Remifentanyl, a short acting opioid, can attenuate the pressor response to the laryngoscopy but it causes bradycardia and hypotension. Also, it needs continuous infusion for providing its effect. Remifentanyl is an expensive drug. These disadvantages are not accompanied when using clonidine or gabapentin.\textsuperscript{9,10}

Few data are available comparing the efficacy of gabapentin or clonidine in controlling hemodynamic response throughout the peri-intubation period. We therefore, conducted this randomized double blind clinical trial study to compare any possible blunting cardiovascular effects of laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation by the use of 800 mg gabapentin or 0.3 mg clonidine in comparison with groups that didn’t receive any of two drugs.

\textbf{Methods}

After obtaining Institutional Ethics Committee approval and written informed consent from all the patients, ninety-six ASA I and II consequent patients, aged 18-65 years, scheduled for elective surgery under general anaesthesia requiring tracheal intubation, were included in this study. The other inclusion criteria covered patients without receiving any drugs that could influence hemodynamic and autonomic function, patients with no difficult airways or obesity (body weight > 100 kg), no allergy or contraindications to anaesthetics or any drug used, no hypovolemic, no electrocardiographic abnormalities, no congestive heart failure, no diabetes mellitus, no hypertension, no coronary artery, respiratory, renal, cerebral diseases, and no pregnancy. If there was profuse change in the technique of anaesthesia, the patients were excluded from the study. The study was carried out in Alzahra Hospital of Isfahan University of Medical Sciences throughout 2008-2010.

According to earlier study,\textsuperscript{9} a sample-size approximation pointed out that 32 patients per group would provide a power of 80\% at a level of 0.05 for identifying 30\% attenuation in the post-intubation increase in heart rate.

The patients were randomly allocated to one of three groups according to the agents to be used before the induction of anaesthesia: Control group received oral placebo (n = 32, Group P), patients who received 800 mg of gabapentin (n = 32, Group G), and those patients who received 0.3 mg of clonidine (n = 32, Group C) 90 minutes prior to surgery.

The study drugs containing placebo, gabapentin, and clonidine were prepared in a double blind fashion, by a collaborator not involved in data recording and an appropriate code number was assigned. The same collaborator administered drugs while a blind observer collected data.

The randomization result concealed until after the patient had provided written consent. Also, the investigators obtaining consent were blind to the randomization.

No premedication was given. If there was any side effect (such as bradycardia, hypotension, nausea and vomiting, respiratory depression, dizziness, somnolence, peripheral oedema and headache) it was recorded.

In the operating room, an 18 G intravenous cannula was inserted in an appropriate antecubital vein and ringer’s solution was started, at 10 ml/kg per h throughout the study period. Monitoring included an automated BP cuff, electrocardiography, and pulse oximetry. Systolic arterial pressure (SAP), diastolic arterial pressure (DAP), mean arterial pressure (MAP), heart rate (HR) and rate pressure product (RPP) at pre-induction time were measured as baseline values.

After preoxygenation, anaesthesia was induced with thiopental 5 mg/kg, fentanyl 3 µg/kg and atracurium 0.5 mg/kg. Patients’ lungs were manually ventilated with 100\% oxygen before orotracheal intubation was performed. Direct laryngoscopy was performed 3 minutes after loss of verbal contact by using a Macintosh 3 laryngoscope blade and tracheal intubation was accomplished within 15 s. The
patients’ lungs were then mechanically ventilated with a tidal volume of 10 ml/kg and a respiratory rate of 12/min to maintain end-tidal PaCO2 at around 38 mmHg. Anesthesia was maintained with propofol 150 µg/kg with a fresh gas flow of 4 L/min (50% N2O in O2).

In each patient, the BP (SAP, DAP, MAP), and HR were measured at three time-points: baseline (3 min before induction), just before laryngoscopy, and postintubation (at 1, 3, 5, 10 and 15 min after starting laryngoscopy) by an independent investigator unaware of the group assignment. The rate pressure product was computed by multiplying the systolic blood pressure by the heart rate. The incidences of BP and HR percent changes more than 20% of baseline values during the observation were also recorded.

Hypertension was defined as a MAP more than 30% of a patient’s baseline value, or 130 mm Hg, whichever was greater. Hypotension was defined as a MAP less than 70% of a patient’s baseline value, or 65 mm Hg, whichever was less. Tachycardia and bradycardia were defined as a HR greater than 120 bpm and < 60 bpm, respectively. The incidence of hypertension, hypotension, tachycardia, and bradycardia was recorded during the study period and compared among the three groups. The incidence of dysrhythmia after intubation was also compared among the three groups.

A dysrhythmia was defined as any ventricular or supraventricular premature beat or any sustained rhythm other than sinus. Ephedrine (3 mg increments) was administered for hypotension and atropine, in 300 µg increments, for bradycardia.

Following termination of surgery, the remaining neuromuscular blockade was reversed with neostigmine 0.04 mg/kg and atropine 0.02 mg/kg.

Duration of anaesthesia (the time from induction of anaesthesia till extubation), and duration of postanaesthesia care unit (PACU) stay based on PACU discharge criteria (awake, haemodynamically stable, able to maintain a patent airway without assistance, protective reflexes intact, comfortable and free of persistent bleeding) were also recorded.

Hemodynamic responses to induction and intubation in a given group were analyzed using a repeated-measurements ANOVA (one-way ANOVA) followed by a paired t-test with Bonferroni’s correction. Chi-squared test or Fisher’s exact test, when proper, was utilized for analysis of categorical data. Continuous variables are presented as mean ± SD. A value of P < 0.05 was considered the minimum level of statistical significance. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 16.0.

Results

One-hundred forty patients completed the study criteria for randomization. Twelve patients dropped out of the study (see CONSORT Statement, Figure 1).

Demographic characteristics, duration of anesthesia, surgery and PACU stay were similar in the three groups (Table 1). The preoperative mean arterial pressure, heart rate and RPP values were similar in the three groups (Figure 2-4).

After induction of anesthesia, HR increased in Group C and Group P but it was significant in Group C (Figure 2). The increase in HR was not significant in Group G.

Systolic, diastolic and mean arterial blood pressure (Figure 3) decreased significantly in all three groups compared with baseline values. RPP decreased significantly in Group G (Figure 4). BP, HR and RPP changes after induction of anaesthesia and before laryngoscopy were not significantly different among the three groups.

Changes in arterial pressures, HR, and RPP were greatest 1 min after intubation. Increase in HR was less in Group G and Group C compared with Group P (Figure 2). HR, BP and RPP significantly decreased at 5, 10, and 15 minutes after intubation in Group G and Group C compared with the baseline values.

HR and RPP significantly decreased in Group G and Group C at 5, 10, and 15 minutes after tracheal intubation compared with those just before laryngoscopy (Figure 2, 4). SAP, DAP, MAP and RPP at 1, 3, 5, 10, and 15 minutes after intubation were significantly lower
in Group G compared with Group P. There was no significant difference between Group C and Group P in this regard.

The incidence of HR, SAP, DAP, and MAP percent increases ≥ 20% of baseline values were significantly lower in Group G compared with Group P (p < 0.05). There was no case of bradycardia or hypotension among three groups. No ST segment depression or other electrocardiogram changes was noted in either group.

**Figure 1.** Flow diagram of the progress through the phases of the randomised trial. Group G = gabapentin, Group C = clonidine, Group P = placebo.
Table 1. Demographic characteristics, duration of anesthesia, surgery and PACU stay in the three groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Group G (n = 32)</th>
<th>Group C (n = 32)</th>
<th>Group P (n = 32)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>36 ± 14</td>
<td>37 ± 13</td>
<td>41 ± 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (M/F)</td>
<td>22/10</td>
<td>17/15</td>
<td>14/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>70 ± 12</td>
<td>72 ± 13</td>
<td>68 ± 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA (I/II)</td>
<td>29/3</td>
<td>26/6</td>
<td>25/7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of anesthesia (min)</td>
<td>143 ± 29</td>
<td>145 ± 37</td>
<td>150 ± 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of surgery (min)</td>
<td>110 ± 17</td>
<td>115 ± 47</td>
<td>122 ± 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of PACU stay (min)</td>
<td>57 ± 26</td>
<td>63 ± 28</td>
<td>60 ± 24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Group G = gabapentin, Group C = clonidine, Group P = placebo. ASA: American Society of Anesthesiologists, PACU: Post anaesthesia care unit. Values were expressed as mean ± SD or numbers. No significant difference was seen among groups.

Figure 2. The heart rate changes during intubation period in the three groups. Data are mean ± SD. Group G = gabapentin, Group C = clonidine, Group P = placebo. BL = before laryngoscopy, AL = after laryngoscopy. * p < 0.05 vs. baseline; † p < 0.05 vs. BL.

Figure 3. The mean arterial pressure changes during intubation period in the three groups. Data are mean ± SD. Group G = gabapentin, Group C = clonidine, Group P = placebo. BL = before laryngoscopy, AL = after laryngoscopy. ‡ p < 0.05 vs. Group P; * p < 0.05 = P vs. baseline; † p < 0.05 vs. BL.
Figure 4. The rate pressure product changes during intubation period in the three groups. Data are mean ± SD. Group G = gabapentin, Group C = clonidine, Group P = placebo. BL = before laryngoscopy, AL = after laryngoscopy. ‡ p < 0.05 vs. Group P; * p < 0.05 = P vs. baseline; † p < 0.05 vs. BL.

Discussion
The present study compared the efficacy of oral gabapentin 800 mg and clonidine 0.3 mg administered 90 minutes prior to surgery for controlling cardiovascular responses to laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation. The results of our study showed that comparable with clonidine, gabapentin significantly reduced DAP, SAP, MAP, and RPP changes for 15 min after endotracheal intubation.

Compared with placebo, the incidence of HR, SAP, DAP, and MAP percent increase ≥ 20% of baseline values were significantly lower in Group G. This conclusion is not true about clonidine. There were no cases of bradycardia, tachycardia, arrhythmias, ST segment or other ECG changes noted throughout the study.

Direct laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation lead to increasing blood pressure and heart rate. Mechanism of cardiovascular response to intubation is considered to be a reflex sympathetic response to the mechanical stimulation of larynx and trachea. Significant increase in serum levels of norepinephrine and epinephrine subsequent to laryngoscopy with and without tracheal intubation have been described.

Various anesthetic methods and drugs are used for controlling the hemodynamic response to the laryngoscopy and intubation. The technique or drug of choice depends on the necessity and duration of operation, choice of anesthetic technique, rout of administration, and medical condition of the patient.

Memiş and colleagues showed that oral administration of gabapentin 800 mg but not 400 mg given 1 h before operation blunted the arterial pressure and HR increase in the first 10 min after endotracheal intubation.

In animal models of nociception, gabapentin decreases hypersensitivity associated with nerve injury, inflammation, and pain after surgery.

Gabapentin augmented the analgesic effect of morphine in healthy volunteers and its combination in patients experiencing neuropathic cancer pain showed better analgesia in comparison with morphine alone.

Pretreatment with a single dose of gabapentin prevented dose-dependent development of hyperalgesia (which is NMDA-mediated) and tactile allodynia (which is AMPA- and metabotropic receptor-mediated).

Gabapentin does not interact with other
frequently prescribed drugs. The most common adverse effects of gabapentin are somnolence (20%), ataxia (13%), fatigue (11%), and dizziness (8%). The other complications are nystagmus, headache, diplopia, tremor, and nausea, each one fewer than 10%.

There was no difference among our three groups in these side effects all through the study period. Fassoulaki et al. showed that pretreatment with gabapentin 1600 mg at 6 hourly intervals starting the day (noon) before surgery attenuates the pressor response to laryngoscopy and intubation of the trachea. They emphasized that gabapentin had no effect on the changes in HR. They induced anaesthesia with propofol, which produces bradycardia. Therefore, tachycardia resulting from intubation may have been attenuated by propofol in both groups concealing such a possible effect of gabapentin on the HR. In addition, propofol produces hypotension more than thiopental and bradycardia, which may compensate in part the cardiovascular changes attributable to laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation.

In our study, gabapentin also had no effect on the changes in HR. We used thiopental for induction of anaesthesia. Tsuchiya and colleagues showed that induction of anaesthesia with thiopental increased heart rate due to reducing cardiac parasympathetic tone. It is possible that tachycardia induced by thiopental inhibited the beneficial effect of gabapentin on HR. This is also in agreement with Kaya et al. study that reported gabapentin blocked the increase in the MAP but not the HR associated with tracheal intubation.

In our study none of the patients had hypotension before induction of anaesthesia. Also, none of the patients in the Group G or Group C exhibited severe hypotension compared with the control group. Consistent with our study protocol during the induction period, we should administer ephedrine if SAP remained less than 80 mm Hg 1 min after intubation. Nonetheless, none of our patients needed active treatment for hypotension during the study period. These data documented the safety of gabapentin administration.

The mechanism by which gabapentin attenuates the pressor response to laryngoscopy and intubation is unidentified. The drug inhibits membrane voltage-gated calcium channels, therefore acting in a manner like calcium channel blockers.

The effect of clonidine on attenuation of pressor response to the laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation has been reported previously by many studies. Previous investigations showed that a dose of 300-µg clonidine orally or larger decreases sympathetic activity. The Matot et al. study shows that, in patients undergoing laryngoscopic or bronchoscopic procedures under general anesthesia, premedication with oral clonidine (4-4.5 µg/kg) attenuates hemodynamic responses. In our study, premedication with clonidine 0.3 mg administered 90 minutes prior to surgery significantly reduced HR, DAP, SAP, MAP, and RPP changes for 15 min after endotracheal intubation.

Our data confirmed the results of above studies who demonstrated clonidine to be beneficial in blunting reflex tachycardia and hypertensive responses associated with intubation. The risk of undesirable side effects is very important in evaluating the overall safety of preanesthetic medication. The potentially beneficial effect of α₂ agonists may be counteracted by bradycardia and hypotension. Our data showed that hypotension may be expected. This hypotensive effect has been described in other investigations in which clonidine was used either in its IV form or as an oral dose of 4 or 5 mg/kg. In our study, nevertheless, hypotension occurred only during the induction of anaesthesia and might be related to thiopental used.

This finding is in agreement with a previous study in elderly patients undertaking ophthalmic surgery under local anaesthesia, in which 30% of the patients premedicated with 300 µg clonidine, but no patient in the other groups (150 µg clonidine or placebo), were treated at least once for hypotension. Other author has also described severe hypotensive episodes in at least 10% of patients premedicated with 300 µg of clonidine. The above-
mentioned studies together with the results of our study advocate caution in the use of clonidine 300 mg as a general premedicant.

We preferred a dose of 4 µg/kg clonidine in the present study because previous studies showed that administration of 4 µg/kg clonidine blunted catecholamine release during intubation and surgery and that larger clonidine doses were not more effective. Smaller doses, nevertheless, were not adequate to blunt the reaction to laryngoscopy. Additionally, the maximum dose of clonidine is limited by its action on peripheral α-adrenoceptors. Larger doses might cause peripheral α stimulation, which could result in an increase in blood pressure. In most human researches, 4 µg/kg clonidine was applied without signs of peripheral α stimulation.

Some mechanisms by which clonidine blunt the heart rate and blood pressure response to instrumentation of the airway have been proposed. Activation of central α2-adrenoceptors, which causes both a decrease in peripheral sympathetic tone and an increase in vagally induced reflex bradycardia, and stimulation of peripheral presynaptic α-adrenoceptors, which leads to diminished release of norepinephrine from the nerve endings toward the vasculature and to a reduction in peripheral sympathetic tone, are amongst probable explanations.

We did not quantify stress mediators such as endogenous plasma catecholamines or cortisol, and we did not score sedation. These can be regarded as limitations of our study. Although measurements of endogenous catecholamines would give valuable information, scoring sedation prior to induction of anaesthesia would impede with the double blinding of the study. We studied patients up to 65 yr as elderly patients take more often drugs such as antidepressants, hypnotics and antihypertensives. Older patients also demonstrate increased sensitivity to drugs and the cardiovascular effects of gabapentin were not investigated extensively. Aged patients should include a different group with doses of gabapentin probably adjusted to age. Accordingly, its optimal dosing and side effects for the older population require more study before planning a similar study.

We decided to use a deviation of 30% for MAP or 20% for HR based on the results of Memis et al. study which showed gabapentin administration caused attenuation of at least 20% in HR and 25-30% in MAP increase one minute after laryngoscopy. So, it seems that sample size determination was relatively accurate in our study.

The advantages of using gabapentin or clonidine premedication for attenuation of cardiovascular responses to the laryngoscopy are easy administration, no significant side effects, and availability with low price. Also, both drugs have antinociceptive effects that may be beneficial for controlling post-operating pain. Remifentanil is expensive and has adverse effects such as bradycardia and hypotension that inhibits its use in hemodynamic compromised patients. Esmolol needs infusion pump for administration and it is not available always everywhere. Labetolol is an appropriate drug for this purpose but it is not available every where.

In conclusion, our study showed that oral gabapentin 800 mg or clonidine 0.3 mg, given 1 h before operation, comparably blunted the pressor response to laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation. More studies focusing on their cardiovascular effects and their effect on stress mediators especially in elderly are needed. Also, it is recommended that the attenuating cardiovascular effects of gabapentin after intubation be compared with the newer α2-adrenoceptor, dexmedetomidine.
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