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Mycophenolate mofetil in combination with interferon beta-1a in the treatment of relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis: a preliminary study
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Abstract

BACKGROUND: The efficacy of Mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) plus interferon beta-1a (IFNB-1a) in treatment of relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis (RRMS) was evaluated.

METHODS: This was a pilot study with randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled design. Patients with RRMS and Expanded Disability Status Scale score (EDSS) of < 6.0 were included. Those with relapse within the previous two months and prior use of immunomodulatory/immunosuppressive drugs were excluded. Patients were randomized into MMF (n = 13) and placebo (n = 13) groups and received weekly intramuscular IFNB-1a plus either MMF or placebo. MMF started by 500 mg/d for one week and weekly escalated by 500 mg/d, until target divided dose of 2000 mg/d and continued for 12 months. Radiologic and clinical assessments were performed at baseline and then at month 12.

RESULTS: After one year of therapy, difference between the two groups in number of new T2 lesions was not statistically significant (0.54 ± 0.77 in MMF vs. 1.85 ± 3.2 in placebo group, p = 0.169). Two patients in the placebo group had gadolinium-enhanced lesions and one patient had relapse. There were 3 patients in each group with more than one point progression in EDSS. Common side effect in the MMF group included gastrointestinal upset, but no patient discontinued the treatment.

CONCLUSIONS: Combination of MMF with IFNB-1a in patients with RRMS is well tolerated, but the efficacy of such combination was not statistically significant in this pilot study and deserves further investigation with a larger sample size and a longer follow-up.
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Data are available on the effects of Mycophenolate mofetil (MMF), a powerful inhibitor of lymphocyte proliferation, in combination with IFNB in the treatment of RRMS. In an open-labeled study, RRMS patients with a history of breakthrough disease activity after 6 months of IFNB-1a treatment received MMF as adjunctive therapy and the results suggested beneficial effects of combining MMF and IFNB in patients with poor response to IFNB alone. Retrospective data also documented the safety profile of MMF alone or in combination with IFNB or glatiramer acetate. Despite these promising reports, there is a lack of controlled trials in this regard. Also, there is no report on the effect of such combination therapy in new cases of MS. In this placebo-controlled trial, the effects of MMF in combination with IFNB-1a in the treatment of RRMS were evaluated.

Methods
Setting and Patients
This pilot study, with randomized, double-blinded (patient and assessor), placebo-controlled design, was conducted from April 2008 to September 2009 in Isfahan MS Society (IMSS), Isfahan, Iran. Calculated sample size per group was 13, considering α = 0.05, study power = 80%, effect size = 1, and SD = Range/6. Eligible patients were recruited from the registered patients at IMSS. Inclusion criteria were age of 18 to 55 years, clinically definite MS (according to McDonald criteria) with disease duration of less than two years, RRMS disease course, and baseline Expanded Disability Status Scale score (EDSS) of less than 6.0. Those with a clinical relapse within two months prior to enrollment, prior use of immunomodulatory or immunosuppressive drugs, women who were pregnant, lactating, or of childbearing age who did not consent to approved contraceptive use during the study, those with abnormal blood tests performed during the screening studies, and patients with other major medical illnesses (e.g. cancer, significant gastrointestinal disease, immunodeficiency, or other autoimmune diseases) were excluded from the study. Informed consent was obtained from all patients after full explanation of the study aim and protocol and the ethical approval was obtained from the Ethics Committee of Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran.

Intervention
Patients were randomized into MMF (n = 13) and placebo (n = 13) groups using table of random numbers generated by random allocation software. Weekly intramuscular IFNB-1a started for all patients. Patients in the MMF group received oral MMF (500 mg Tablet) started at 500 mg daily for one week, weekly escalated by 500 mg daily, until a target dose of 2000 mg daily (1000 mg every 12 hours) was achieved and then continued for 12 months. Placebo was administered with the same daily schedule as of MMF in the placebo arm. Compliance was checked during the study by the treating physician in interviews with patients.

Assessments
The primary outcome of the study was the number of new T2 lesions and new Gd-enhancing lesions in MRI evaluation after one year of therapy. The MRI was performed with a Philips 1.5T scanner. Two radiologists, blinded to the treatment arms, were responsible for MRI evaluations. The secondary outcomes included relapse rate and changes in the EDSS score. Physical examination, monitoring of adverse effects, and laboratory studies including complete blood count with differential, liver function tests (LFT), blood urea nitrogen; and creatinine were performed at baseline and then at months 1, 2, 3, 6, and 12. EDSS assessment and brain MRI were performed at baseline and then after one year of therapy. Relapses during the study were documented by a single neurologist and treated by intravenous methylprednisolone 1g/day for three consecutive days.
**Statistical Analysis**
Data were analyzed using SPSS version 16.0 (Chicago, IL). Comparisons between the two groups were performed using independent t-test and chi-square test. A p value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

**Results**
During the study period, 92 patients with RRMS were screened, from which 66 patients were excluded; 60 previously received IFNB, 40 with more than 2 years duration, and 10 patients had received immunosuppressive drugs. Finally, 26 patients were included and randomized into MMF and placebo groups, and all of them completed the study. There were no significant differences between the two groups in baseline characteristics (Table 1).

**Primary and Secondary Outcomes**
After one year of therapy, the difference between the two groups in the number of new T2 lesions in MRI was not statistically significant (p = 0.169). Two patients in the placebo group had Gd-enhancement and one patient had a relapse while no patient in the MMF group experienced such findings (Table 2). Number of patients with more than one point progression in EDSS was 3 in each group and there was no significant difference between the groups in change in EDSS scores (Table 2).

No abnormality was observed in CBC results, LFT, or renal function tests during the study in any patient. Observed side effects in MMF group mostly included various degrees of gastrointestinal upset in all and transient diarrhea (3 days) in one patient, but no patient discontinued the treatment.

**Discussion**
The aim of the present study was to evaluate the effects of MMF in combination with IFNB-1a in the treatment of RRMS. According to the results, MMF in combination with IFNB-1a has no more significant effects over IFNB-1a alone on clinical (relapse rate, EDSS) or paraclinical (number of new T2 or Gd-enhancement lesions in MRI) measures in relatively new cases of MS without history of previous IFNB therapy.

Current disease-modifying therapies for RRMS include IFNB preparations and glatiramer acetate, but in considerable amount of patients, these therapies are only partially effective and patients usually have breakthrough disease activity despite therapy with these agents. Therefore, there is a need for additional treatment options in MS, e.g. combination therapies to enhance the effectiveness of these relatively safe drugs.

Evidence has suggested the ability of MMF in a treatment of a variety of inflammatory disorders including systemic lupus erythematosus, myasthenia gravis, chronic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the MMF and placebo groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>MMF</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (year)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male/Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS duration (month)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline EDSS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline No. of MRI lesions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients with a relapse in previous two years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as number (%) or mean ± SD.
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Table 2. Disease characteristics in the two groups before and after the therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MMF n = 13</th>
<th>Placebo n = 13</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of new T2 lesions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>8 (61.5%)</td>
<td>5 (38.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3 (7.6%)</td>
<td>4 (30.7%)</td>
<td>0.244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2 (15.3%)</td>
<td>1 (7.6%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3 (23.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New T2 lesions</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.77</td>
<td>1.85 ± 3.2</td>
<td>0.169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd-enhanced</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (15.3%)</td>
<td>0.240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of MRI lesions in 1 year</td>
<td>12.8 ± 7.5</td>
<td>18.2 ± 9.1</td>
<td>0.125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDSS in 1 year</td>
<td>1.80 ± 0.99</td>
<td>1.76 ± 1.07</td>
<td>0.925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in EDSS</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.52</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.87</td>
<td>0.592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relapse rate</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (7.6%)</td>
<td>0.500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as number (%) or mean ± SD

inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy, polymyositis, and neuromyelitis optica.\textsuperscript{14} Evidence on the efficacy and safety of MMF in the treatment of MS are also available. Open-labeled studies involving MS patients treated with MMF suggested tolerability and potential efficacy of this drug.\textsuperscript{10,11} In a recently published randomized trial, Frohman et al. compared MMF with IFNB and found similar effects of MMF compared with IFNB on inflammatory brain MRI indices including the cumulative mean number of new Gd-enhancing lesions, new T2 lesions, and combined active lesions and disability measures including EDSS scores and multiple sclerosis functional composite.\textsuperscript{15} To the best of our knowledge there is no report from placebo-controlled study on the tolerability and potential efficacy of combining MMF with IFNB. Vermersch and colleagues in an open-labeled pilot safety study evaluated the effect of adding MMF to IFNB-1a in 30 patients with RRMS refractory to IFNB-1a and found clinical and radiographic benefits as well as acceptable safety.\textsuperscript{9} The results of the present study, however, did not show statistically significant effect of combining MMF with IFNB, which is probably due to the small sample size of the study and relatively short duration of observation. Although data are available on the safety of MMF in MS patients, considering the possible severe side effects of MMF, we could not enroll more patients for investigation. Previous studies have included MS patients who were refractory to IFNB therapy, but in our study we included patients with no history of treatment with IFNB because we aimed to find the effect of such combination in new cases of MS to see if we could prevent relapse and further progression of disease to more progressive types. Therefore, since the differences were not significant between the groups, larger sample size is needed to detect smaller differences. According to the results of the present study, at least 64 patients per group are needed for a more reliable analysis.

Conclusions

The results of this study showed that MMF is a safe medication when combined with IFNB, considering clinical and laboratory measures. However, we were unable to show significant clinical or radiological improvements for the MMF over placebo in MS patients under IFNB therapy. It should be considered that this was a pilot study and had limitations and most importantly the sample size was small. Considering the observed safety of the combination, controlled trials with a larger sample size and longer follow-ups are recommended in this regard.
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