# **Original** Article

# Mathematical model for determination of colloid osmotic pressure:

# The role of albumin-globulin ratio

Mehdi Nematbakhsh<sup>\*</sup>, Ali Moradi<sup>\*\*</sup>, Majid Khazaei<sup>\*\*\*</sup>, Somaieh Jafari<sup>\*\*\*\*</sup>

### Abstract

**BACKGROUND:** Colloid Osmotic Pressure (COP) is an important factor in the fluid balance of body compartments. COP is related to Total Protein (TP) concentration and Albumin: Globulin Ratio (A/G). The A/G was not included in pervious empirical models, and therefore the main objective of this study was to develop a mathematical model to determine the COP in terms of TP concentration and A/G.

**METHODS:** Sera with different A/G were prepared in-vitro, and COP was measured directly using colloid osmometer. The relationship between COP, TP concentration and A/G were determined mathematically. The validity of developed empirical models was confirmed by statistical comparison between measured and calculated COP in 122 serum samples obtained from hospitalized patients and healthy individuals.

**RESULTS:** By non-linear regression, the following relationships were found between COP, TP concentration and A/G. All coefficients were statistically significant (p<0.05): COP = (4.0814 A/G TP)/(A/G + 0.0153 TP);  $r^2 = 0.91272$ . COP =  $[5.3192 \text{ A/G} - 2.2252 (\text{A/G})^2 + 0.2939 (\text{A/G})^3]$  TP;  $r^2 = 0.94737$  No significant differences were indicated between measured COP and calculated one in clinical data.

**CONCLUSIONS:** The variation of A/G may be the most effective factor for the differences between calculated and measured COP. This parameter must be considered when the direct measurement of COP is unavailable.

KEY WORDS: Mathematical model, colloid osmotic pressure, oncotic pressure, albumin-globulin ratio.

#### JRMS 2006; 11(6): 364-369

Colloid Osmotic Pressure (COP) or oncotic pressure plays an important role in the fluid balance between intravascular and interstitial fluid compartments. Almost one hundred years ago, Starling proposed that the net fluid volume movement across the membrane of capillary is based on the interaction between two opposing forces: the difference in hydrostatic pressure and the difference in the COP on either side of the membrane between the capillary and interstitial fluid spaces <sup>1</sup>.

The COP monitoring has been suggested in many diseases to control the bodies' compartmental fluids. Observation on 99 consecutive and critical patients demonstrated a close relationship between COP and the patients survival time <sup>2,3</sup>. The lower incidence of pulmonary edema was observed in 128 critical patients with higher level of plasma COP <sup>4</sup>. Drummond et al showed that COP reduction per se can aggravate brain edema after a mechanical head injury <sup>5</sup>. Decreasing the COP level

<sup>\*</sup>PhD, Physiology Research Center, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran.

<sup>\*\*</sup>MSc, Department of Physiology, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>PhD, Department of Physiology, Birjand University of Medical Sciences, Birjand, Iran.

<sup>\*\*\*\*</sup>BS, Department of Chemistry, Isfahan University, Isfahan, Iran.

Correspondence to: Dr Mehdi Nematbakhsh, Physiology Research Center, School of Medicine, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran. e-mail: nematbakhsh@mui.ac.ir

also indicates the occurrence of cerebral vasospasm following subarachnoid hemorrhage 6. The lower COP difference across the tumormicrovascular wall indicates high COP level in the tumor which is consistent with the elevated interstitial fluid pressure 7. Acute peritoneal dialysis may result in decreasing COP in peritoneal membrane. This phenomenon shifts the Starling equilibrium toward an absorptive state which is needed to be controlled 8. The significant correlation also was reported between the improvement in visual field and the decrease in COP 9. Accordingly, it is obvious that the monitoring of COP is extremely necessary, but the especial and expensive equipments are required to measure COP directly that is not available. Therefore, extensive attention was made to develop empirical and theoretical models to determine COP in term of Total Protein (TP) concentration. In an ideal condition, COP is given by Van't Hoff's formula:

$$COP = RTC$$
 [1]

where C is the molar colloid concentration, R is the universal gas constant and T is the absolute temperature <sup>10</sup>. Practically, Landis and Pappenhrimer developed an empirical model to predict COP in human plasma in term of TP concentration <sup>11</sup>. This model is given as:

$$COP = 2.1 \text{ TP} + 0.16 \text{ TP}^2 + 0.009 \text{ TP}^3$$
[2]

In this equation the first term represents the ideal limiting law of Van't Hoff while the second and third terms represent deviation from Van't Hoff law caused by Gibbs-Donnan's effects. The alternate equation described by Pappenheimer as following:

$$COP = aTP/(1-bTP)$$
[3]

where the empirical constant a = 2.4 and b = 0.046 are suggested <sup>12</sup>. Another study done by Navar and Navar proposed the following equation <sup>13</sup>:

$$COP = 2.265TP + 0.008TP^2 + 0.026TP^3$$
 [4]

More mathematical models also were developed by others <sup>14-16</sup>, and among them the following simple formula also is available <sup>16</sup>: Nematbakhsh et al

$$COP = 4 TP - 0.8$$
 [5]

All these equations could be used with confidence when Albumin: Globulin Ratio (A/G) is known to lie between normal limits. Geranton et al assessed the accuracy of four developed models <sup>11,13-15</sup> in renal patients to determine the potential role of liver-derived non-albumin proteins in the maintenance of COP, and they found strong correlation between measured and calculated COP, but in an absolute term, there was significant difference between measured and four calculated COP 17. Miki et al designed an experiment to examine the effect of A/G on calculated COP 18. They found that the difference between calculated and measured COP is considerably depending on A/G and they concluded that the main error caused by the prediction of COP comes from the difference between A/G of the sample. The greater the difference of the A/G, the more the discrepancy in the COP. Hoefs developed an equation to calculate COP from multivariate discriminate analyses of Albumin (A) and Globulin (G) concentrations 19, and he suggested the flowing equation based on COP and A ratio (COP/A).

COP/A = 1.058G + 0.163A + 3.11 [6]

In general, A/G is not constant. Treated patients with proteins (A or G) may have abnormal A/G. The abnormal A/G, of course affects COP prediction. Including A/G to an empirical model for determination of COP will be helpful and beneficial to have the accurately calculated COP. Therefore, the main objective of this study was to develop a mathematical model to determine COP (based on TP concentration and A/G) with confidence in clinic for patients with normal and abnormal A/G.

#### Methods

#### 1. Preparation of pooled serum:

Pooled human serum was obtained from Isfahan Blood Bank. TP and A concentrations were measured with the standard Biuret and Bromcresol Green methods (ready-to-use reagents from Pars Azmun Co, Iran) respectively. A/G was calculated using the following equation:

A/G = A/(TP - A)[8]

#### 2. Preparation of sera with different A/G:

Different amounts of human albumin 20% (albumin Berna, Swiss Serum and Vaccine Institute) and/or  $\gamma$ -globulin-KGCC 16.5% (Immune Serum Globulin, Human, Korean Green Cross Corp.) were added to the pooled serum. Fourteen serum samples with different A/G were prepared. Again TP and A concentrations of each sample were measured and the exact A/G of each sample was determined. The TP and A concentrations of each serum sample were measured twice and the mean value was calculated.

#### 3. Preparation of sera with constant A/G:

Each serum sample from step 2 with specific A/G and known TP concentration was diluted stepwise with isotonic saline to the concentration ratio range of 1/10 to 10/10. Therefore, ten new samples were prepared with equal and constant A/G, but different TP concentrations. By the end of this step, 140 samples were prepared in 14 different A/G groups.

#### 4. COP measurement:

A colloid osmometer (Knauer Co. Germany) was used to measure the COP of samples obtained from step 3. This membrane osmometer is impermeable to molecules larger than 30,000 Daltons. The instrument was calibrated with 5% standard solution of human albumin. The COP of each serum sample was measured twice and the mean value was calculated. The relationship between COP and TP concentration in samples with constant A/G was determined by the nonlinear curve estimation regression using SPSS software.

#### 5. The relationship between COP, TP concentration and A/G:

Based on Van't Hoff's and Pappenheimer formulas (10,12) the following functions were suggested:

$$COP = \varphi(A/G)TP$$
[8]

$$COP = aA/GTP/(A/G - bTP)$$
[9]

where  $\phi$  (A/G) is a function of A/G ;  $\phi$  =  $\alpha A/G$  +  $\beta (A/G)^2$  +  $\delta (A/G)^3\,$  , and a , b,  $\alpha,$   $\beta,$ 

Nematbakhsh et al

and  $\delta$  are constants to be determined numerically by non-linear curve fitting using data from steps 3 and 4.

#### 6. Validity of the models:

In order to determine the validity of the models suggested in last step, 122 serum samples were collected from patients hospitalized in Burn Accidents Center of Isfahan and hemodialysis unit of the Al-Zahra Medical Center, and also healthy individuals. TP and A concentrations of each sample were measured and globulin was determined as described before. Each sample was directly subjected to COP measurement. The COP was also calculated from models suggested in step 5. The calculated and the measured COPs were statistically compared.

#### 7. Data analysis:

The data are reported as mean  $\pm$  SD. The data from calculated and measured COPs were tested by Kolmogorov-Smirnov test to find the normality of distribution. The COPs were compared using nonparametric test for two related samples (Wilcoxon test). P values below 0.05 were considered significant.

## Results

#### 1. The relationship between COP, TP concentration and constant A/G Ratio:

The relationship between COP and TP concentrations in 14 groups of serum samples with constant A/G are shown in table 1. Each of these relationships was subjected to numerical analytical procedures and analyses to determine best-fit curve lines and confident limits for each set of experimental conditions. The best of these relationships have been selected based on the best-fit correlation coefficients and statistical significance of the coefficients. The results indicated two suitable models for each A/G group as following:

$$COP = aTP + bTP^2$$
[10]

$$COP = cTP/(1-dTP)$$
[11]

where a, b, c, and d are constants. These coefficients were statistically significant in all equations (P<0.05).

	CC	$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{aTP} - \mathbf{bTP}$	+ bTP <sup>2</sup>	COP = cTP/(1-dTP)					
A/G	a	b	r <sup>2</sup>	c	d	r <sup>2</sup>			
0.3877	1.6332	0.074	0.99929	1.6979	0.0294	0.99803			
0.8577	1.8809	0.1513	0.99966	2.1880	0.0351	0.99966			
1.1026	1.6966	0.1879	0.99938	2.2013	0.0372	0.99979			
1.4216	2.0395	0.1792	0.99983	2.3780	0.0383	0.99979			
1.4942	1.9666	0.2197	0.99972	2.5528	0.0376	0.99979			
1.8812	2.0824	0.2018	0.99952	2.5123	0.0384	0.99977			
1.9880	2.2472	0.2482	0.99965	2.8398	0.0394	0.99986			
2.1438	2.3743	0.1777	0.99986	2.6267	0.0382	0.99962			
2.4224	2.6085	0.2157	0.99993	2.8307	0.0452	0.99982			
2.5258	2.5828	0.2425	0.99995	2.8352	0.0492	0.99993			
2.6961	2.3926	0.2472	0.99989	2.7491	0.0469	0.99996			
2.9512	2.4297	0.2663	0.99957	2.7783	0.0491	0.99979			
3.2701	2.6746	0.2609	0.99969	2.9549	0.0499	0.99926			
3.9306	2.7409	0.2681	0.99999	2.9587	0.0546	0.99991			

Table 1. The relati	onship between (	COP and TP	concentrations	s in 14 group	s of serum s	amples
with constant A/G. '	The coefficient of	determinat	ion is demonstr	ated for each	i fitting curv	e. All co-
(	efficients (a, b, c,	d) were sta	tistically signific	cant (P<0.05)	•	

#### 2. The relationship between COP, TP concentration and A/G:

In order to determine the relationship between COP, TP concentration and A/G, the data were fitted to equations [8] and [9] by nonlinear fitting curve. The following equations were obtained. All coefficients were statically meaningful (P<0.05) and the coefficients of determination were 0.91272 and 0.94737, respectively.

 $COP = (4.0814 \text{ A/G TP})/(\text{A/G} + 0.0153\text{TP}); r^{2} = 0.91272$ [12]

 $COP = [5.3192 \text{ A/G} -2.2252 \text{ (A/G)}^2 + 0.2939 \text{ (A/G)}^3]TP; r^2 = 0.94737$ [13]

# 3. Comparison between measured and calculated COP in 122 human serum samples

The validity of the suggested empirical models [12] and [13] was obtained by comparison between measured and calculated COP in 122 human serum samples

(TP concentration =3.62 – 10.92 g/dl; A concentration = 2.07 - 6.953 g/dl;

G concentration = 1.24 - 4.51 g/dl; A/G = 0.543 - 3.42). The measured COP was also compared by theoretical models given by Landis and Pappenhrimer (equation [2]), Navar and Navar (equation [4]), Lundsgaard-Hansen P (equation [5]) and Hoefs (equation [6]). The results indicated significant differences between measured COP and calculated COP when equations [2] and [4] were applied (P<0.05). However, no significant differences were seen between measured COP and calculated COP with equations [12] and [13] (table 2).

#### Discussion

The main objective of this study was to develop a mathematical model to determine the COP in patients plasma based on TP concentration and A/G. In human plasma, and under normal condition, the COP is a function of TP concentration. This relationship appears to fail in patients who are critically ill  $^{20,21}$ . The variation of A/G may be the most effective factor for the differences between calculated and measured COP <sup>18</sup>. Although A/G was not considered in most empirical models <sup>11-13,16</sup>, but its important role was mentioned <sup>18</sup>. In this regard, Hoefs suggested a

Measured or Empirical Model	Model	Mean ± SD (mmHg)	Statistical P value *	
Measured COP	Colloid Osmometer	$23.97 \pm 7.85$		
Calculated COP by equation [2]	$COP = 2.1 \text{ TP} + 0.16 \text{ TP}^2 + 0.009 \text{ TP}^3$	$22.65\pm7.57$	0.00	
Calculated COP by equation [4]	$COP = 2.265 \text{ TP} + 0.008 \text{ TP}^2 + 0.026 \text{ TP}^3$	$22.26\pm8.31$	0.00	
Calculated COP by equation [5]	COP = 4 TP - 0.8	$24.54\pm5.53$	0.01	
Calculated COP by equation [6]	COP/A = 1.058 G + 0.163 A + 3.11	$24.47\pm8.32$	0.065	
Calculated COP by equation [12]	COP = (4.0814  A/G TP)/(A/G + 0.0153  TP)	$24.87\pm4.93$	0.120	
Calculated COP by equation [13]	$COP = [5.3192 \text{ A/G} - 2.2252 (\text{A/G})^2 + 0.2939 (\text{A/G})^3] \text{ TP}$	$23.78 \pm 5.71$	0.541	

Table 2.	The com	parison	between	measured	and	calculated	COP	in	122	human	serum	sam	ples
----------	---------	---------	---------	----------	-----	------------	-----	----	-----	-------	-------	-----	------

\*Compared with measured COP ( $23.97 \pm 7.85 \text{ mmHg}$ ).

model including A and G concentrations <sup>19</sup>. Our experimental data indicated that the relationship between COP and TP concentration for each individual A/G is different (table 1). The difference was associated with the number of particles which create the COP and the varying effects of physical-chemical factors, such as Donnan effects for different types of proteins. The Donnan effect is responsible for COP generated as a result of combination of some positive ions with negative charges of proteins. It is much more pronounced at higher protein concentrations than the lower ones. In human serum, constant A/G will keep constantly the ratio of number of colloid particles to colloid concentration. On the other hand, because the COP is directly related to the number of osmotically active colloid particles, for constant A/G, the ratio of the COP to the colloid concentration (COP/TP) is constant. Accordingly, in condition of constant A/G, the non-linearity of the relationship is resulted from Donnan effect. When A/G is not constant in two different serums with equal TP concentration, the solution with higher A/G will create a higher COP. Therefore, COP is actually dependant on two major factors; TP concentration and A/G, and both of them must be considered for determination of COP (equations [12] and [13]). The importance of COP determination in clinic and clinical researches have been noticed in the literatures  $^{6,8,22-30}$ . Therefore, an empirical model will be extremely helpful whenever the direct measurement is unavailable.

#### References

- 1. Starling EH. On the Absorption of Fluids from the Connective Tissue Spaces. J Physiol 1896; 19(4):312-326.
- 2. Webster HL. Colloid osmotic pressure: theoretical aspects and background. Clin Perinatol 1982; 9(3):505-521.
- 3. Weil MH, Henning RJ, Puri VK. Colloid oncotic pressure: clinical significance. Crit Care Med 1979; 7(3):113-116.
- 4. Rackow EC, Fein IA, Leppo J. Colloid osmotic pressure as a prognostic indicator of pulmonary edema and mortality in the critically ill. *Chest* 1977; 72(6):709-713.
- 5. Drummond JC, Patel PM, Cole DJ, Kelly PJ. The effect of the reduction of colloid oncotic pressure, with and without reduction of osmolality, on post-traumatic cerebral edema. *Anesthesiology* 1998; 88(4):993-1002.
- Ikeda K, Ikeda T, Suzuki H, Taniuchi H, Nagura M, Ooshima K. Colloid osmotic pressure (COP) can be a good indicator of occurrence of vasospasm following subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH). Med Sci Monit 2003; 9(2):CR43-CR47.
- Stohrer M, Boucher Y, Stangassinger M, Jain RK. Oncotic pressure in solid tumors is elevated. *Cancer Res* 2000; 60(15):4251-4255.
- 8. Rosengren BI, Rippe B, Tenstad O, Wiig H. Acute peritoneal dialysis in rats results in a marked reduction of interstitial colloid osmotic pressure. J Am Soc Nephrol 2004; 15(12):3111-3116.
- 9. Luke C, Widder RA, Walter P, Brunner R, Kirchhof B, Borberg H. The effect of membrane differential filtration on the colloid osmotic pressure in patients with age-related macular degeneration: significance to visual function? *Ther Apher Dial* 2003; 7(2):263-268.
- 10. Van Holde KE. Physical biochemistry. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall; 1985.
- 11. Landis RM, Pappenheimer JR. Exchange of substances through capillary walls. In: Field J, editor. Handbook of Physiology. Baltimore, USA: Williams &Wilkins; 1990. p. 961-1034.

- 12. Pappenheimer JR. Role of protein osmotic pressure in regulation of glomerular filtration rate. Proceedings of Krogh Symposium, Springer, Indiana: Indiana Academy of Science; 1974.
- 13. Navar PD, Navar LG. Relationship between colloid osmotic pressure and plasma protein concentration in the dog. *Am J Physiol* 1977; 233(2):H295-H298.
- 14. Brenner BM, Ueki IF, Daugharty TM. On estimating colloid osmotic pressure in pre- and postglomerular plasma in the rat. *Kidney Int* 1972; 2(1):51-53.
- 15. Canaan-Kuhl S, Venkatraman ES, Ernst SI, Olshen RA, Myers BD. Relationships among protein and albumin concentrations and oncotic pressure in nephrotic plasma. *Am J Physiol* 1993; 264(6 Pt 2):F1052-F1059.
- 16. Lundsgaard-Hansen P. Physiology and pathophysiology of colloid osmotic pressure and albumin metabolism. *Curr Stud Hematol Blood Transfus* 1986;(53):1-17.
- 17. Geranton F, Chantrel F, Bouiller M, Muller S, Kolb I, Moulin B et al. Prediction of colloid osmotic pressure in renal patients. *Clin Nephrol* 2000; 53(4):269-275.
- 18. Miki K, Sagawa S, Shiraki K. Factors influencing the determination of colloid osmotic pressure of plasma. J UOEH 1983; 5(4):405-412.
- 19. Hoefs JC. Globulin correction of the albumin gradient: correlation with measured serum to ascites colloid osmotic pressure gradients. *Hepatology* 1992; 16(2):396-403.
- 20. Barclay SA, Bennett D. The direct measurement of plasma colloid osmotic pressure is superior to colloid osmotic pressure derived from albumin or total protein. *Intensive Care Med* 1987; 13(2):114-118.
- 21. Sprung CL, Isikoff SK, Hauser M, Eisler BR. Comparison of measured and calculated colloid osmotic pressure of serum and pulmonary edema fluid in patients with pulmonary edema. *Crit Care Med* 1980; 8(11):613-615.
- Posner LP, Moon PF, Bliss SP, Gleed RD, Erb HN. Colloid osmotic pressure after hemorrhage and replenishment with Oxyglobin Solution, hetastarch, or whole blood in pregnant sheep. Vet Anaesth Analg 2003; 30(1):30-36.
- 23. Konstam MA. Colloid osmotic pressure: an under-recognized factor in the clinical syndrome of heart failure. J Am Coll Cardiol 2003; 42(4):717-718.
- 24. Komori M, Takada K, Tomizawa Y, Uezono S, Nishiyama K, Ozaki M. Effects of colloid resuscitation on peripheral microcirculation, hemodynamics, and colloidal osmotic pressure during acute severe hemorrhage in rabbits. *Shock* 2005; 23(4):377-382.
- 25. Correa-Perez JR, Fernandez-Pelegrina R, Zavos PM. Development of differential sperm tail swelling patterns during exposure of human spermatozoa to hypoosmotic environments regulated by a colloid osmotic pressure effect. *Andrologia* 2004; 36(2):84-86.
- 26. Correa-Perez JR, Fernandez-Pelegrina R, Zarmakoupis-Zavos PN, Zavos PM. The effect of colloid osmotic pressure in human spermatozoa exposed to hypoosmotic conditions. *Andrologia* 2003; 35(2):117-120.
- 27. Gupta S, Tasker RC. Does giving albumin infusion in hypoalbuminemic children with oncological disease affect colloid osmotic pressure and outcome? *Arch Dis Child* 2002; 86(5):380-381.
- 28. Tek I, Arslan O, Arat M, Ayyildiz E, Tol M, Oral M et al. Effects of replacement fluids used for therapeutic plasma exchange on plasma viscosity and plasma oncotic pressure. *Transfus Apher Sci* 2004; 31(2):89-93.
- 29. Gimmon Z. Oncotic pressure of dietary formulae- an additional characteristic for enteral feeding. *Clin Nutr* 2003; 22(4):427-428.
- Dzakovic A, Kaviani A, Jennings RW, Wilson JM, Fauza DO. Positive intrapulmonary oncotic pressure enhances short-term lung growth acceleration after fetal tracheal occlusion. J Pediatr Surg 2002; 37(7):1007-1010.