Efficacy of combined endurance-resistance training versus endurance training in patients with heart failure after percutaneous coronary intervention: A randomized controlled trial
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Background: This study aimed to compare the effects of combined endurance-resistance training (CT) versus endurance training (ET) on some cardiovascular markers in patients with heart failure after percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI).

Materials and Methods: The study applied a randomized, controlled design in which 75 patients with heart failure who had undergone PCI were randomly assigned to one of three groups: ET, CT, and control. The ET group performed ET for 45 min, three times a week for 7 weeks. The CT group performed the same ET for 30 min followed by a resistance exercise protocol. The control group received usual care. Functional capacity, N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-pro BNP), and high sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) levels were measured. Results: After the intervention, functional capacity was improved ($P < 0.001$) and NT-pro BNP level was significantly reduced ($P = 0.004$ in the CT group, $P = 0.002$ in the ET group). Hs-CRP level was significantly reduced only in the ET group ($P = 0.030$). The control group showed no significant changes in any cardiovascular parameters ($P \geq 0.05$). Changes in functional capacity ($P < 0.001$) in both training groups were significantly different from the control group. No significant differences were found between the ET and CT groups regarding changes in all outcomes after exercise training ($P \geq 0.05$). Conclusion: Exercise training is safe and feasible in post-PCI patients, even in those with reduced ejection fraction. ET was as effective as ET in reducing NT-pro BNP level and improving functional capacity in heart failure patients after PCI.

Key words: Brain natriuretic peptide, C-reactive protein, exercise, heart failure, percutaneous coronary intervention, resistance training

INTRODUCTION

Coronary artery disease is a major cause of heart failure.[1] Although percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) is an advantageous approach to restore myocardial perfusion, heart failure has been reported even after PCI.[2,3] Patients with left ventricular systolic dysfunction remain at risk of sudden cardiac death.[3]

Exercise training is a well-established nonpharmacological therapy for patients with heart failure.[4] However, most training programs have largely focused on endurance training (ET).[4] Recently, the efficacy of novel exercise training modalities such as resistance training has been investigated to select the most effective modality for heart failure patients.[5] However, there are limited data to support resistance training as an accepted adjunct therapy in this group of patients.[4]

Brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) and its inactive N-terminal fragment (NT-pro BNP) are secreted by ventricular cardiac myocytes in response to increased myocardial wall stress, owing to left ventricular...
dysfunction.\textsuperscript{[6–7]} Both peptides are as indicators of the response to therapeutic intervention in patients with heart failure.\textsuperscript{[8]} While the favorable effect of ET on BNP and NT-proBNP in patients with heart failure has been shown,\textsuperscript{[6,9]} there is still uncertainty about the impact of combined endurance - resistance training (CT) on circulating levels of BNP and NT-proBNP.\textsuperscript{[10,11]}

High-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP), a marker of systemic inflammation, was found to be a predictor of risk for future cardiovascular events.\textsuperscript{[12]} Furthermore, CRP level may be an independent marker to determine the degree of improvement in patients with heart failure.\textsuperscript{[13]} However, the results of studies investigating the effect of exercise training on CRP levels in heart failure patients are controversial.\textsuperscript{[14,15]}

As far as we know, no study has investigated the efficacy of exercise training on NT-proBNP and hs-CRP levels in patients with heart failure after PCI. In addition, it is not known which exercise protocol, endurance, or CT is more effective. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to compare the effects of these two training protocols on NT-proBNP and hs-CRP levels in patients with heart failure after PCI.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study design and participants**

This randomized, controlled, parallel-group trial compared the effects of endurance and CTs on some cardiovascular markers. This study was registered in Clinical Trial. Government with registration identifier IRCT2015092916532N3.

All patients who had undergone PCI and were referred by their cardiologist to the Cardiac Rehabilitation Department of Al-Zahra Heart Charity Hospital in Shiraz from October 2015 to November 2016, 1 month after angioplasty, were evaluated for inclusion in this study. The inclusion criteria were age 40–70 years, left ventricular ejection fraction ≤40%, and New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional Class I, II, or III. Patients were excluded from the study if the following criteria were met: severe exercise intolerance; uncontrolled arrhythmia; uncontrolled angina pectoris; severe kidney or lung diseases; musculoskeletal or neuromuscular conditions preventing exercise testing or training; and signs or symptoms of ischemia. All participants signed a written informed consent before participating in this study. Ethics approval was obtained from the Shiraz University of Medical Sciences Local Ethics Committee (reference number: IR.SUMS.REC.1394113).

**Randomization and masking**

After the baseline assessment and before the start of treatment protocols, the 75 participants were randomly assigned in a 1:1:1 allocation ratio to one of three groups: ET, CT, and control. A biostatistician generated the random allocation sequence using permuted block randomization method with 25 blocks and block size of three. The random allocation sequence was implemented by a nurse who was blind to the study hypothesis and intervention. All participants, referring physicians, research assistants, outcome assessors, and analyst were blinded to group assignment. To ensure allocation concealment, participants in each group were seen at a specific, prescheduled time, and appointments for each group did not coincide with appointments for any patients in either of the other two groups.

**Measurements**

**Exercise testing**

A supervised graded exercise test to record volitional fatigue or symptoms was performed on a treadmill with the Bruce protocol before and after the 7-week intervention period. The test was done in nonfasting conditions and under medication. A 12-lead electrocardiography was recorded continuously, and blood pressure was measured with an arm cuff every 3 min. Functional capacity in metabolic equivalent value (METs) and rate pressure product in the second stage of the exercise test was calculated.

**Biomarkers**

Fasting blood samples were collected at baseline and the end of the study. Blood samples were drawn on the same day as exercise testing but were collected before exercise. All final blood samples were obtained 24 h after completion of the last exercise session. Serum NT-proBNP level was determined with an enzyme immunoassay kit from Biomedica (Vienna, Austria). Hs-CRP was measured with a commercial ELISA kit (Monobind Inc., Lake Forest, CA, USA). Biomarkers were assayed according to the manufacturers’ recommended protocols.

**Interventions**

Both exercise groups received training three times per week for 7 weeks. Training sessions were supervised by an experienced physiotherapist and a nurse. Electrocardiogram and heart rate were observed with telemetric monitoring, and blood pressure was measured at the commencement and the end of each session. Each training session was initiated with a 5 min warm-up and ended with 5 min of cool-down. Patients in all three groups received a pamphlet for daily exercising at home. To sum up, the exercises included ten types of active exercises. The patients were asked to do each exercise for 10 repetitions. Each exercise session at home lasted 15–20 min.

**Endurance training protocol**

The patients performed ET for a total of 45 min at 40%-70% peak VO\textsubscript{2} predicted with a supervised graded exercise
test on a treadmill with the Bruce protocol. ET comprised of exercising on a cycle ergometer for 20 min, an arm ergometer for 10 min, and a treadmill for 15 min. The first few sessions, training intensity was set at 40% peak VO₂ and then increased progressively to 70% peak VO₂. As training intensity increased, the patients’ heart rate, rate of perceived exertion (Borg scale), and cardiac symptoms were also taken into consideration.

**Combined endurance-resistance training protocol**

The patients performed ET as the described protocol for 30 min (10 min for each workstation) followed by the resistance exercise protocol.

The resistance exercise protocol included four exercise regimens: knee extension, knee flexion, elbow flexion, and shoulder abduction. Initial intensity was set as 40% one repetition maximum (1RM) and then increased gradually to 60% 1RM (1RM is the maximum weight that can be lifted once with correct technique through a full range of motion). Patients performed these exercises in two sets of 10 repetitions per session. They were instructed to perform the exercises slowly with correct lifting technique to avoid the Valsalva maneuver. The lower limb muscle groups (knee extensor and flexors) were trained with a weight machine, and upper limb muscle groups (elbow flexors and shoulder abductors) were trained using dumbbells. The total duration of the resistance exercise protocol was approximately 15 min.

**Control protocol**

Patients in the control group only received a pamphlet for daily exercising at home as explained above.

**Statistical analysis**

According to the previous studies,[10] the sample size was determined based on NT-pro BNP level outcome to be 25 patients in each group when \( \alpha = 0.05, \beta = 0.2 \) and effect size = 0.61 by the NCSS (PASS) 2007 software (NCSS, Kaysville, Utah, USA). All analyses were done with SPSS software, version 16 (IBM Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) on an intention-to-treat principle. Normality of the data distribution was checked with the Shapiro–Wilk test, and nonparametric tests were used for nonnormal variables. We used descriptive statistics including mean ± standard deviation for quantitative variables and frequency (percentage) for qualitative variables to summarize the participants’ baseline characteristics. Baseline comparisons between groups were performed with one-way ANOVA. Chi-square test was used to compare qualitative variables amongst our three groups. Paired t-tests and Wilcoxon signed rank tests were used for within-group comparisons for variables with normal and nonnormal (NT-proBNP and hs-CRP) distribution, respectively.

For each participant, change in values from baseline was calculated at the end of 7th week (postintervention). Between-group comparisons were tested with one-way ANOVA and the post hoc Tukey test. \( P < 0.05 \) was considered significant for all tests. In addition, the magnitude of changes was evaluated by calculating Cohen’s effect size.

**RESULTS**

During the screening process out of 311 patients, 232 were excluded, since they did not meet the inclusion criteria, and four other patients declined to participate. Finally, 75 patients were randomly allocated in each group. Five participants withdrew from the study due to loss of interest and other reasons that were not related to exercise training [Figure 1]. Participants completed the study without any serious adverse events. Premature ventricular contractions were recorded in six patients. Two patients in CT group had an episode of angina pectoris during treadmill exercise that was relieved after taking sublingual nitroglycerin. One patient in ET group experienced severe hypotension during two consecutive training sessions that was normalized after discontinuing exercise. Another patient in ET group had a respiratory problem, which was not related to exercise training and had to be hospitalized for 3 days. The last two patients resumed training after 1 week. At baseline, there were no statistically significant differences between groups for demographic and clinical characteristics [Table 1]. There were also no significant differences between the three groups for cardiovascular parameters at baseline (\( P \geq 0.05 \)). Changes in cardiovascular parameters after the intervention in the three groups are shown in Table 2. After 7 weeks of exercise training, NT-proBNP level was significantly reduced in the CT and ET groups (\( P = 0.004 \) and \( P = 0.002 \), respectively). Hs-CRP level was significantly reduced in ET group (\( P = 0.030 \)), but the change in hs-CRP in the CT group was not statistically significant (\( P \geq 0.05 \)). The control group showed no significant changes in any cardiovascular parameter (\( P \geq 0.05 \)).

Improvement was observed in both training groups in functional capacity and exercise test duration (\( P < 0.001 \)). Significant reductions were found in rate pressure product in the second stage of the exercise test (S2RPP) in the ET group (\( P = 0.048 \)), but S2RPP did not change significantly in the CT group (\( P \geq 0.05 \)). This group showed a significant improvement in peak heart rate (\( P = 0.005 \)), but the change in peak heart rate in the ET group was not significant (\( P \geq 0.05 \)).

The results of one-way ANOVA showed statistically significant difference between the three groups with respect to the mean change values in functional capacity (\( P < 0.001 \)), exercise duration test (\( P < 0.001 \)), and peak heart rate (\( P = 0.017 \)). There were no significant
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Randomized (n = 75)

Allocated to CT group (n = 25)

Allocated to control group (n = 25)

Allocated to ET group (n = 25)

Follow-up

Withdraw (n = 2)
- Severe liver disease (n = 1)
- Loss of interest (n = 1)

Withdraw (n = 1)
- Loss of interest (n = 1)

Withdraw (n = 2)
- Severe knee pain (n = 1)
- Loss of interest (n = 1)

Analysis

Completed study (n = 23)
Analyzed in intention-to-treat analysis (n = 25)

Completed study (n = 24)
Analyzed in intention-to-treat analysis (n = 25)

Completed study (n = 23)
Analyzed in intention-to-treat analysis (n = 25)

Enrollment

Assessed for eligibility (n = 311)

Excluded (n = 236)
- Not meeting inclusion criteria (n = 232)
- Declined to participate (n = 4)

Figure 1: Flow diagram of study

Table 1: Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Combined training (n=25)</th>
<th>Endurance training (n=25)</th>
<th>Control (n=25)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (year), mean (SD)</td>
<td>56.76 (8.71)</td>
<td>57.64 (7.85)</td>
<td>57.32 (9.41)</td>
<td>0.936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg), mean (SD)</td>
<td>73.36 (11.36)</td>
<td>72.64 (10.21)</td>
<td>70.28 (14.60)</td>
<td>0.649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm), mean (SD)</td>
<td>169.00 (8.19)</td>
<td>164.72 (7.55)</td>
<td>163.68 (11.16)</td>
<td>0.098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²), mean (SD)</td>
<td>25.69 (3.65)</td>
<td>26.71 (2.91)</td>
<td>26.10 (3.86)</td>
<td>0.587</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF (%), mean (SD)</td>
<td>34.40 (5.26)</td>
<td>33.80 (6.17)</td>
<td>35.00 (5.59)</td>
<td>0.758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYHA, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>9 (36)</td>
<td>9 (36)</td>
<td>11 (44)</td>
<td>0.971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>12 (48)</td>
<td>11 (44)</td>
<td>10 (40)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>4 (16)</td>
<td>5 (20)</td>
<td>4 (16)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male/female, n (%)</td>
<td>22 (88)/3 (12)</td>
<td>18 (72)/7 (28)</td>
<td>16 (64)/9 (36)</td>
<td>0.139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug therapy, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-blockers</td>
<td>23 (92)</td>
<td>22 (88)</td>
<td>22 (88)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE-I</td>
<td>4 (16)</td>
<td>8 (32)</td>
<td>8 (32)</td>
<td>0.336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin</td>
<td>25 (100)</td>
<td>25 (100)</td>
<td>25 (100)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plavix</td>
<td>25 (100)</td>
<td>25 (100)</td>
<td>25 (100)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARBs</td>
<td>11 (44)</td>
<td>9 (36)</td>
<td>8 (32)</td>
<td>0.671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCBs</td>
<td>2 (8)</td>
<td>3 (12)</td>
<td>2 (8)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diuretics</td>
<td>12 (48)</td>
<td>12 (48)</td>
<td>10 (40)</td>
<td>0.806</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statins</td>
<td>25 (100)</td>
<td>22 (88)</td>
<td>23 (92)</td>
<td>0.359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrates</td>
<td>22 (88)</td>
<td>22 (88)</td>
<td>21 (84)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digoxin</td>
<td>3 (12)</td>
<td>4 (16)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidity, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>4 (16)</td>
<td>4 (16)</td>
<td>5 (20)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>7 (28)</td>
<td>9 (36)</td>
<td>5 (20)</td>
<td>0.452</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI = Body mass index; NYHA = New York Heart Association; LVEF = Left ventricular ejection fraction; ACE-I = Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors; NA = Not applicable; ARBs = Angiotensin receptor blockers; CCBs = Calcium channel blockers; SD = Standard deviation

Pairwise comparisons indicated that the mean change values in functional capacity (P < 0.001), exercise test

differences between the three groups regarding changes in the remaining outcomes [P ≥ 0.05, Table 3].
duration \((P < 0.001)\) and peak heart rate \((P = 0.023)\) in the ET group were significantly greater than the control group. Although significant changes were observed in the CT group, only the changes in functional capacity \((P < 0.001)\) and exercise test duration \((P < 0.001)\) after the intervention were significantly greater than the control group. No significant differences were found between the ET and CT groups for changes in any of the outcomes after exercise training \([P \geq 0.05, \text{Table } 3]\).

**DISCUSSION**

To the best of our knowledge, the present study is the first to compare the effects of ET with CT on NT-proBNP and hs-CRP in heart failure patients after PCI. All participants completed the study without any serious adverse events, suggesting that both exercise protocols were safe and well tolerated. Both ET and CT were effective in reducing NT-proBNP levels, and no significant change in this biomarker was seen in the control group. Our findings are in line with previous studies on the effectiveness of ET in diminishing NT-proBNP level.[6,9,16] The important aspect, with which we can differentiate our work from other studies, is the duration of exercise program. Significant reduction in NT-proBNP level in a short-term exercise training program (7 weeks) is noteworthy. Studies that investigated changes in NT-proBNP and BNP concentrations after CT reported varying results. Conraads *et al.* found a decrease in NT-proBNP level after a 4-month CT program,[10] whereas Jonsdóttir *et al.* found no significant change in BNP with a 5-month CT program.[11]

Differences in exercise program parameters, as well as differences in pharmacological treatments among studies, may be factors in determining the amount of change in NT-proBNP level.[7] Low mean weekly exercise energy expenditures in some exercise program may be the reason for the absence of significant changes in BNP in these studies.[16]

High levels of NT-proBNP are related to poor prognosis that probably mirrors a degree of hemodynamic compromise and increased ventricular wall stress. The reduction in NT-proBNP with exercise training can be an expression

### Table 2: Within-group comparisons for cardiovascular parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biomarkers</th>
<th>Combined training ((n=25))</th>
<th>Endurance training ((n=25))</th>
<th>Control ((n=25))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NT-proBNP (pg/ml)</td>
<td>Pre 204.42 (176.40)</td>
<td>Post 136.74 (151.93)</td>
<td>(P = 0.004^†)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hs-CRP (mg/L)</td>
<td>Pre 1.53 (1.98)</td>
<td>Post 1.09 (1.49)</td>
<td>(P = 0.062^†)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Functional parameters**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Combined training ((n=25))</th>
<th>Endurance training ((n=25))</th>
<th>Control ((n=25))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Functional capacity (METs)</td>
<td>Pre 8.46 (1.89)</td>
<td>Post 10.81 (1.76)</td>
<td>(P &lt; 0.001^†)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise test duration (min)</td>
<td>Pre 7.43 (1.89)</td>
<td>Post 9.66 (1.71)</td>
<td>(P &lt; 0.001^†)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak HR (bpm)</td>
<td>Pre 138.00 (17.93)</td>
<td>Post 144.11 (18.20)</td>
<td>(P = 0.005^†)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2RPP (mmHg bpmx1000)</td>
<td>Pre 16.66 (2.08)</td>
<td>Post 15.18 (2.62)</td>
<td>(P = 0.058^†)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\)P value based on Paired t-tests, \(^2\)P value based on Wilcoxon signed rank tests. Data are presented as the mean (SD). NT-proBNP = N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide; Hs-CRP = High-sensitivity C-reactive protein; METs = Metabolic equivalent test; HR = Heart rate; S2RPP = Rate pressure product at Stage 2 of exercise test; SD = Standard deviation

### Table 3: Between-group comparisons for changes in cardiovascular parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biomarkers</th>
<th>Combined training versus control</th>
<th>Endurance training versus control</th>
<th>Endurance training versus combined training</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NT-proBNP (pg/ml)</td>
<td>Mean difference ((95% \text{ CI}))</td>
<td>Effect size</td>
<td>Mean difference ((95% \text{ CI}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre 36.30 (−56.87, 129.49)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>83.70 (−9.48, 176.88)</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hs-CRP (mg/L)</td>
<td>0.59 (−0.55, 1.75)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.63 (−0.52, 1.79)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Functional parameters**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Combined training versus control</th>
<th>Endurance training versus control</th>
<th>Endurance training versus combined training</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Functional capacity (METs)</td>
<td>Mean difference ((95% \text{ CI}))</td>
<td>Effect size</td>
<td>Mean difference ((95% \text{ CI}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre 1.83 (0.73, 2.93)</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>2.17 (1.08, 3.27)</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise test duration (min)</td>
<td>1.68 (0.60, 2.76)</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>2.11 (1.03, 3.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak HR (bpm)</td>
<td>12.92 (0.21, 26.05)</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>14.83 (1.70, 27.97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2RPP (mmHg bpmx1000)</td>
<td>1.58 (−0.73, 3.90)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>1.41 (−0.90, 3.73)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^*\)Between-group comparisons. Pairwise comparisons - \(^*\)\(P<0.05\); \(^*\)\(P<0.001\). NT-proBNP = N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide; Hs-CRP = High-sensitivity C-reactive protein; METs = Metabolic equivalent test; HR = Heart rate; S2RPP = Rate pressure product at Stage 2 of exercise test; CI = confidence interval
of reduced ventricular wall tension and improved hemodynamics.[17] Furthermore, it may be indicative of improved systolic cardiac function and tissue oxygenation.[6] Since cardiac function plays an important role in patients’ functional capacity and quality of life, a reduction in NT-proBNP concentration is a beneficial impact of the exercise training. Furthermore, NT-proBNP is a prognostic marker of cardiovascular events in patients with left ventricular dysfunction,[18] therefore, decrease in NT-proBNP is associated with reduction in cardiac events.

The exact mechanism for the reduction in NT-proBNP level by exercise training is unclear. It was hypothesized that the decrease in NT-proBNP levels in training groups is probably due to improved endothelial function leading to a decrease in left ventricular afterload, after exercise training.[10,19]

Hs-CRP was significantly reduced only in the ET group, but the change in the CT and control groups were not significant. These findings are in agreement with the results of previous studies showing that ET can diminish hs-CRP levels.[15,20] One mechanism proposed to explain the training-induced reduction in CRP is a decrease in cytokine production by adipose tissue, skeletal muscle, blood mononuclear cells, and endothelial cells.[13,21] Exercise is known to attenuate inflammatory markers associated with endothelial dysfunction and to improve endothelial function by up-regulating endothelial nitric oxide formation.[22] The anti-inflammatory effects of exercise training may improve symptoms in patients with heart failure[23] and reduce mortality.[13]

We did not find any significant changes in hs-CRP in the CT group after the intervention. A similar study investigated the effects of CT program on markers of inflammation in patients with stable angina after PCI, and the authors reported that exercise had no significant effect on hs-CRP.[24] It is likely that more intense resistance training is required to significantly modify markers of inflammation. It should be noted, that a higher training load may be unsafe and difficult to comply with in patients with heart failure. In terms of magnitude, Cohen’s effect sizes were 0.18 for the ET group and 0.22 for the CT group. Given that the patients in our sample study received statins for 1 month before they began exercise training, baseline levels of hs-CRP were mostly within the normal range, and this may explain the small training effect.

We found no significant differences between training groups in postintervention changes in NT-proBNP and hs-CRP. We, therefore, cannot claim the superiority of one training protocol over another. To our knowledge, the present study is the first to compare the effects of ET and CT on NT-proBNP and hs-CRP in heart failure patients, hence, our results should be compared to other studies with caution.

Our results showed significant improvement in functional capacity after ET and CT compared to our control group, but there were no significant differences between the ET and CT groups. In terms of magnitude of the differences between changes in the CT and ET groups, Cohen’s effect sizes were 0.20 for functional capacity. These findings are consistent with the results of previous studies.[25,26] A meta-analysis reported that an increase in cardiorespiratory fitness of 1.5 METs after cardiac rehabilitation is associated with a 16%–54% reduction in cardiac mortality.[17]

**Limitations**

The current study has several limitations. At first, we did not collect follow-up data due to time constraints. Second, this study only included heart failure patients with NYHA functional class I-III, thus our results may not be applicable to individuals with NYHA functional class IV nor those with severe comorbid conditions. Another limitation of our study was that women accounted for only 25.3% of the participants, so whether our findings can be generalized to both genders should be studied further. Furthermore, although the use of gas analyzer is the gold standard for peak VO₂ determination, due to unavailability of this device, we predicted the peak VO₂ indirectly using Bruce protocol. We suggest further studies with larger sample sizes and follow-up assessment to conclude whether the positive effects of exercise training will still remain with time.

**CONCLUSION**

The present study showed that patients with heart failure after PCI can achieve a significant reduction in NT-proBNP and hs-CRP levels, and improve functional capacity from a short-term ET program. Besides, CT in these patients was feasible, safe, and effective in reducing the level of NT-proBNP and improving the functional capacity. There was no significant superiority of one modality over another in terms of NT-proBNP and functional capacity. Further research is required to compare the effectiveness of the two training protocols on hs-CRP level.
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