A bilobed testicle diagnosed with ultrasound in an 18-year-old boy
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Bilobed testicle is a very rare congenital malformation with an unknown etiology. Herein, we report an 18-year-old boy presented with a right-bilobed testicle mimicking a testicular tumor. The present case highlights the importance of considering bilobed testicle as a valuable differential diagnosis of testicular mass to prevent unnecessary surgery. Furthermore, the case could provide more information about presentation and management of bilobed testicle.
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INTRODUCTION

Bilobed testicle is an uncommon congenital malformation. Six cases have been reported in the literature till date.[1-6]

The etiology of bilobed testicle is poorly understood, but it may be presumed that this malformation is an incomplete type of polyorchidism.[3]

Incomplete division of the genital ridge by peritoneal band is currently accepted as the possible mechanism of bilobed testicle. Complete division of genital ridge results in a condition known as polyorchidism. In comparison with bilobed testicle, polyorchidism is more common with approximately 100 cases reported in the literature. Polyorchidism has been associated with several pathologies such as malignancy, torsion, and inguinal hernia. Meanwhile, bilobed testicle considered as a benign condition according to the previous studies. However, lack of studies with long-term follow-up of cases and paucity of cases make it difficult to judge about bilobed testicle long-term outcome.[3]
similar to the rest of the testicle [Figure 2]. Both testicles were of a similar size, with normal vascularity and normal-appearing epididymis in the ultrasound study. According to the ultrasound features, the differential diagnosis included bilobed testicle, polyorchidism, and intratesticular mass. With suspicious of a bilobed testicle, a magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the testis was performed. MRI showed a mass with the same signal features as the normal testicles and confirmed the diagnosis of bilobed testicle [Figure 3]. The patient was educated on the signs and symptoms of testicular torsion to report early if suspected, and a 6- and 12-month follow-up with physical examination and ultrasound were arranged for the patient. The patient is well, currently without any scrotal discomfort. The last ultrasound revealed no changes in the imaging characteristics.

DISCUSSION

Polyorchidism has been associated with several pathologies such as malignancy, torsion, and inguinal hernia. Meanwhile, bilobed testicle considered as a benign condition according to the previous studies. According to the previous studies, the risk of torsion in supernumerary testicles is 15% in comparison with 0.025% of the general population and 0.25% in cryptorchidism. However, out of the six cases of bilobed testicle reported in the literature, only one presented with testicular torsion and underwent orchiectomy. Therefore, patients should be made aware of the risks associated with bilobed testicle and educated on the signs and symptoms of testicular torsion to report early if suspected.\(^3\)

Considering the differences in presentation between bilobed testicle and polyorchidism, it is important to distinguish between the two entities. The main distinguishing feature is that in polyorchidism, the supernumerary testicle is much smaller than the main testicle.\(^4\)

Ultrasound and MRI are useful for diagnosis of this anomaly. Radiographic findings include a lobulated testis with normal echotexture, and the hemi-testicular structure is similar to the rest of the testis. There is a shallow cleft between two parts of the testis that resembles the cleavage furrow during cytokinesis in cell division\(^5\) and could be named as “cleavage furrow sign.”

There are no data in the literature regarding the long-term care of bilobed testicle. Polyorchidism is much more common in comparison to bilobed testicle, and due to the similarities in etiology, we rely on the literature for decisions regarding the management of bilobed testicle. Surgical management is not routine even with the suspected increased risk of malignancy in association with bilobed testicle. Thus, if uncomplicated, surgery is not required in bilobed testicle in contrast to cryptorchidism.\(^3,6\)

Regular follow-up appointments at the hospital create excessive concern for the patients and their family. If the patient experiences no change during 12 months after diagnosis, he/she may be discharged to primary care.

\[\text{Figure 1: Ultrasound demonstrates a right bilobed testicle}\]

\[\text{Figure 2: Color Doppler ultrasound shows a normal vascularity in the mass similar to the normal adjacent testis}\]

\[\text{Figure 3: Sagittal T2-weighted image showed a mass with the same signal features as the normal testicles}\]
Self-examination should be taught and recommended even though it may be difficult due to the anatomy. If there is ever any suspicion or concern of change, ultrasound should be carried out. Unlike polyorchidism, monitoring tumor markers is not indicated in bilobed testicles as the risk of malignancy is not as high in comparison and there are no indications in the literature supporting this.[3,7]

Our patient was fit and well on presentation and remained asymptomatic during follow-up. The only positive sign on investigation was a well-defined smooth mass which was palpated in the inferior pole of the right testis. His ultrasound studies showed no change, and therefore, no surgical intervention was indicated. The presentation our case confirms that bilobed testicle is a benign malformation and conservative management may be appropriate in uncomplicated cases such as our case.
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